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Abstract

This article presents a detailed numerical study of lockdown (temporal and spatio-temporal) mathematical models for coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19). The temporal model proposed in this study comprises a system of five nonlinear ordinary differential equations, while the spatio-temporal model consists of five nonlinear partial differential equations. The reproduction number is discussed as a means to estimate the spread of the COVID-19 pandemic, and sensitivity analysis is performed to highlight the significance of pandemic parameters. Furthermore, the stability regions of the given models, as well as the Von Neumann stability and consistency of the numerical scheme applied to the spatio-temporal model, are investigated. To analyze the numerical results of the presented models under various parameters and facilitate comparison, effective methods such as the central finite difference (CFD) and Runge-Kutta of fifth order (RK-5) are applied. This comprehensive study provides insights into the dynamics and behavior of the COVID-19 pandemic under different scenarios, shedding light on the effectiveness of lockdown measures and the impact of various parameters on the spread of the disease.
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1. Introduction

Away over the world, there are a great number of viruses, including coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19), which has infected millions of people and affected their health and the economy as well. Many natural phenomena and life problems are crystallized in the form of mathematical models that are dealt with analytically or numerically [1–4]. The transmission dynamics of the virus can be formulated in mathematical models that understand us and predict the dynamics of the virus [5–9]. In [10] Kucharski et al. combined datasets from inside and outside Wuhan and formulated it as a mathematical model to estimate the early dynamics of transmission of the infection and take control measures against the spread of the virus. Baba et al. applied some schemes such as ODE45, Euler, Runge-Kutta of second-order (RK-2), and RK-4 to a mathematical model of COVID-19 that represents the imposition of lockdown in Nigeria [11]. In Brazil, Valle [12] used an iterative method in the COVID-19 model that can estimate the total number of infections and deaths, and the observed data are in agreement with the results obtained by the Gompertz model. Mandal et al. [13] found that to control COVID-19 in India by reducing the contact of exposed and susceptible humans to avoid imposing control measures by the government. In [14] Biswas et al. studied a model of the spreading of COVID-19, they estimated the parameters of the model by fitting the model with collected data about the virus in India and presented predictions with the future trends of COVID-19 transmission under some control measures. Zhang et al. [15] applied RK-4 to evaluate and analyze a new fractional-order mathematical model for the COVID-19 pandemic. Agarwal et al. analyzed the COVID-19 mathematical model of fractional order theoretically [16]. In [17] Wrapp et al. showed that the infected people who show symptoms are more numerous than those who do not show symptoms. Rothe et al. [18]
discovered that the COVID-19 virus has more links than the severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS) virus. In [19] Hakimeh et al. introduced a mathematical model to reduce the transmission of some diseases by the Caputo fractional order derivative. A numerical simulation was presented by Tuan et al. in [20] which obtained the approximate solutions by using the generalized Adams-Bashforth-Moulton method.

This article is arranged as follows: In section 2, we present a mathematical formulation for the COVID-19 lockdown (temporal and spatio-temporal) models. We investigate reproduction number, sensitivity analysis, and stability region analysis for the presented model, as shown in section 3. In section 4, we introduce numerical solutions for the COVID-19 temporal model via two schemes: RK-5 order and the central finite difference. In section 5, we introduce numerical solutions for the COVID-19 spatio-temporal model, study the stability and consistency of the numerical scheme, and discuss the results of the proposed model. In section 6, we discuss the effect of some parameters on controlling the spread of infection between individuals.

2. Mathematical formulation

In this section, we introduce a mathematical model of COVID-19 that describes the effect of lockdown strategies on the spread of COVID-19 between people. The population is divided into five categories: $S(t)$ represents the susceptible people that are not under lockdown; $S_0(t)$ represents susceptible persons that are already under lockdown; $I(t)$ represents infected persons who are not under lockdown; $I_0(t)$ represents infected persons who are under lockdown at the same time; and $Q(t)$ is the cumulative density of the lockdown program. This model can be covered by a system of five nonlinear ordinary differential equations as a temporal model and a system of five nonlinear partial differential equations as a spatio-temporal model.

2.1. Temporal model

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{dS}{dt} &= \Lambda - \beta SI - \delta S Q - dS + \mu I + \mu Q + \nu S, \\
\frac{dS_0}{dt} &= \delta S Q - dS_0 - \nu S_0, \\
\frac{dI}{dt} &= \beta SI - \mu I - \rho I - dI - \delta I Q - \nu I, \\
\frac{dI_0}{dt} &= \delta I Q - dI_0 - \mu I_0 - \rho I_0, \\
\frac{dQ}{dt} &= \eta I - \psi Q.
\end{align*}
\] (2.1)

Subject to non-negative initial conditions:

\[
S(0) = S_0, S_0(0) = S_{00}, I(0) = I_0, I_0(0) = I_{00}, Q(0) = Q_0.
\] (2.2)

2.2. Spatio-temporal model

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial S}{\partial t} &= C_1 \frac{\partial^2 S}{\partial x^2} + \Lambda - \beta SI - \delta S Q - dS + \mu I + \mu Q + \nu S, \\
\frac{\partial S_0}{\partial t} &= C_2 \frac{\partial^2 S_0}{\partial x^2} + \delta S Q - dS_0 - \nu S_0, \\
\frac{\partial I}{\partial t} &= C_3 \frac{\partial^2 I}{\partial x^2} + \beta SI - \mu I - \rho I - dI - \delta I Q - \nu I, \\
\frac{\partial I_0}{\partial t} &= C_4 \frac{\partial^2 I_0}{\partial x^2} + \delta I Q - dI_0 - \mu I_0 - \rho I_0, \\
\frac{\partial Q}{\partial t} &= C_5 \frac{\partial^2 Q}{\partial x^2} + \eta I - \psi Q.
\end{align*}
\] (2.3)

With initial conditions,

\[
S(0, x) = \begin{cases} 
2S_0 x & 0 \leq x \leq 0.5 \\
2S_0(1 - x) & 0.5 \leq x \leq 1
\end{cases}
\]

\[
S_0(0, x) = \begin{cases} 
2S_0 x & 0 \leq x \leq 0.5 \\
2S_0(1 - x) & 0.5 \leq x \leq 1
\end{cases}
\]

\[
I(0, x) = \begin{cases} 
2I_0 x & 0 \leq x \leq 0.5 \\
2I_0(1 - x) & 0.5 \leq x \leq 1
\end{cases}
\] (2.4)

\[
I_0(0, x) = \begin{cases} 
2I_0 x & 0 \leq x \leq 0.5 \\
2I_0(1 - x) & 0.5 \leq x \leq 1
\end{cases}
\]

\[
Q(0, x) = \begin{cases} 
2Q_0 x & 0 \leq x \leq 0.5 \\
2Q_0(1 - x) & 0.5 \leq x \leq 1
\end{cases}
\]

And homogeneous Neumann boundary conditions,

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial S(t, 0)}{\partial x} &= \frac{\partial S(t, 1)}{\partial x} = 0, \\
\frac{\partial S_0(t, 0)}{\partial x} &= \frac{\partial S_0(t, 1)}{\partial x} = 0, \\
\frac{\partial I(t, 0)}{\partial x} &= \frac{\partial I(t, 1)}{\partial x} = 0, \\
\frac{\partial I_0(t, 0)}{\partial x} &= \frac{\partial I_0(t, 1)}{\partial x} = 0, \\
\frac{\partial Q(t, 0)}{\partial x} &= \frac{\partial Q(t, 1)}{\partial x} = 0.
\end{align*}
\] (2.5)
Where $\Lambda$ is the rate of recruitment, $\beta$ rate of infection contact, $d_\delta$ and $d_i$ lockdown imposition on susceptible and infected persons respectively, $\rho_i$ and $\rho_q$ rate of death in infected persons but not under lockdown and infected persons under lockdown respectively, $\mu_i$ and $\mu_q$ rate of recovery in infected persons but not under lockdown and infected persons under lockdown, $v_s$ transfer rate of susceptible lockdown persons to susceptible class, $v_l$ transfer rate of infection of persons under lockdown to infection class, $\eta$ achievement rate of the lockdown program and $\psi$ depletion rate of the lockdown program.

3. Reproduction number and stability region

In this section, we introduce some important indicators that help us realize the spread of the pandemic in the population.

3.1. Reproduction number

The number of new infections caused by an infectious individual in a disease-free population is defined as the reproduction number $R_0$. If $R_0 > 1$, the pandemic will spread, while it will be confined if $R_0 < 1$. To obtain $R_0$ for the proposed model (2.1) we put the virus-free equilibrium point $C_0 = [S_0, 0, 0, 0, 0]$ and make the system (2.1) equal to zero and solve it.

\[
\begin{align*}
0 &= \Lambda - \beta SI - d_i SQ - dS + \mu I + \mu_I Q + v_s SQ, \\
0 &= d_i SQ - dSQ - v_i SQ, \\
0 &= \beta SI - \mu I - \rho I - dI - d_i IQ + v_l IQ, \\
0 &= d_i IQ - dIQ - v_i IQ - \mu_I Q - \rho_q IQ, \\
0 &= \eta I - \psi Q.
\end{align*}
\]

We get $S_0 = \frac{\Lambda}{\beta}$. Let $X = [I, IQ]^T$ which $I$ and $IQ$ are components of the infection in the model and

\[
\frac{dX}{dt} = F(X) - V(X),
\]

Where

\[
F(X) = \begin{pmatrix}
\beta SI - d_i IQ \\
\delta_i IQ
\end{pmatrix}, V(X) = \begin{pmatrix}
\mu I + \rho_i I + dI - v_l IQ \\
dl + v_i IQ + \mu_I Q + \rho_q IQ
\end{pmatrix}.
\]

where $J(F(X))$ and $J(V(X))$ are the Jacobians of $F(X)$ and $V(X)$ respectively.

We calculate the greatest eigenvalue of the matrix $J(F(X)) * J(V(X))^{-1}$ and substitute with $C_0$, we obtain the reproduction number $R_0$ for the model (2.1).

\[
R_0 = \frac{\beta \Lambda}{d\rho_i + d^2 + d\mu_i}.
\]

3.2. Sensitivity analysis

The sensitivity analysis is studying the pandemic parameters of the proposed model (2.1) and their effects on the virus spread. Using the reproduction number $R_0$ we obtain

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial R_0}{\partial \Lambda} &= \frac{\beta}{d\rho_i + d^2 + d\mu_i}, \\
\frac{\partial R_0}{\partial \beta} &= \frac{\Lambda}{(d\rho_i + d^2 + d\mu_i)^2}, \\
\frac{\partial R_0}{\partial d} &= \frac{-\beta \Lambda(\rho_i + 2d + \mu_i)}{(d\rho_i + d^2 + d\mu_i)^2}, \\
\frac{\partial R_0}{\partial \rho_i} &= \frac{-\beta \Lambda}{(d\rho_i + d^2 + d\mu_i)^2}, \\
\frac{\partial R_0}{\partial \mu_i} &= \frac{-\beta \Lambda d}{(d\rho_i + d^2 + d\mu_i)^2}.
\end{align*}
\]

Given that all parameters are positive, then we have $\frac{\partial \Lambda}{\partial \beta} < 0, \frac{\partial \beta}{\partial \beta} < 0$ and $\frac{\partial \Lambda}{\partial \Lambda} < 0, \frac{\partial \beta}{\partial \beta} < 0$. Thus, increasing the parameters $\Lambda$ and $\beta$ results in an increase in $R_0$, and increasing the parameters $d$, $\rho_i$, and $\mu_i$ leads to a decrease in $R_0$.

3.3. Stability region

In the region of stability of the disease-free equilibrium and endemic points shown for $(\rho_i, \mu_i, \beta)$ in Figs. 1 and 2 the values of other parameters are fixed. In Fig. 1, we examine the effects of $(\rho_i, \mu_i, \beta)$ at the disease-free equilibrium point where $R_0 < 1$. Fig. 1b, c, d illustrates the projection of the stability region $(\rho_i, \beta)$ with fixed $\mu_i$ at 0.15, 0.25, and 0.45, respectively. We observe that $\rho_i$ and $\beta$ maintain their stability at a large value of $\mu_i$. 

\[
J(F(X)) = \begin{pmatrix}
\beta S - d_i Q & 0 \\
0 & \delta_i Q
\end{pmatrix}, J(V(X)) = \begin{pmatrix}
\mu_i + \rho_i + d & -v_l \\
d + v_i + \mu_q + \rho_q
\end{pmatrix}.
\]
In Fig. 2, we examine the effects of \((\rho_i, \mu_i, \beta)\) at the endemic equilibrium point. Figure 2b, c, d illustrates the projection of the stability region \((\rho_i, \beta)\) with fixed \(\mu_i\) at 0.15, 0.25, and 0.45, respectively. We observe that \(\rho_i\) and \(\beta\) maintain their stability at a small value of \(\mu_i\).

4. Numerical solutions for temporal model

This section presents the computational methods of the endemic model of COVID-19 2.1. We took some parameter values from the works of literature and estimated the other parameter values from the stability region, which is discussed in Section 3. We carry out two efficient numerical schemes: RK-5-order and central finite-difference.

The RK-5 method provides a higher degree of stability, making it effective in handling stiff ODEs where the solution changes rapidly. Stiff ODEs often require smaller step sizes to maintain accuracy, but the RK-5 method can still provide accurate results even with relatively larger step sizes compared with...
lower-order methods. The main objectives in choosing a higher order central finite difference method are accuracy and stability. Higher order methods approximate derivatives using more points, leading to smaller errors. They are also often more numerically stable, allowing a larger time step size. This improves computational efficiency by enabling the use of a coarser grid for a given accuracy. Higher order central finite difference methods generally provide a better balance of accuracy, stability and efficiency compared with lower order methods for solving ODEs.

4.1. Runge-Kutta 5th order (RK-5)

Assume that the initial value problem is well-posed, then

$$\frac{dy}{dt} = F(t,y), a < t < b, y(a) = e,$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.1)

we establish the RK-5 technique by a sequence of approximation points \((t, w_i) \approx (t, y(t))\) to the exact solution of Equation (4.1) by
\[ t_{i+1} = t_i + h, \]
\[ k_1 = F(t_i, w_i), \]
\[ k_2 = F\left( t_i + \frac{h}{4} w_i + \frac{k_1 h}{4} \right), \]
\[ k_3 = F\left( t_i + \frac{h}{8} w_i + \frac{k_1 h}{8} + \frac{k_2 h}{8} \right), \]
\[ k_4 = F\left( t_i + \frac{h}{2} w_i - \frac{k_2 h}{2} + k_3 h \right), \]
\[ k_5 = F\left( t_i + \frac{3h}{4} w_i + \frac{3k_1 h}{16} + \frac{9k_4 h}{16} \right), \]
\[ k_6 = F\left( t_i + h, w_i - \frac{3k_1 h}{7} + \frac{2k_2 h}{7} + \frac{2k_3 h}{7} - \frac{12k_4 h}{7} - \frac{12k_5 h}{7} + \frac{8k_6 h}{7} \right), \]
\[ w_{i+1} = w_i + \frac{h}{90} \left( 7k_1 + 32k_3 + 12k_4 + 32k_5 + 7k_6 \right). \]

4.2. Central finite difference (CDF)

Suppose that a well-posed IVP (4.1) is given the central finite difference (CFD) technique as a sequence of approximation points \((t_i, w_i) = (t_i, y(t_i))\) to the exact solution of Equation (4.1) by

\[ \frac{dy}{dt} = \frac{F(t_i, w_i + k) + F(t_i, w_i - k)}{2k}. \]

4.3. Results

Now, we discuss the numerical outcomes of the governing model with respect to the approximate solutions. To achieve this aim, we employed the effective Central Finite Difference and RK-5 schemes and compared the results after 200 days. The initial conditions as discussed in [11] are \( S(0) = 400, SQ(0) = 300, I(0) = 300, IQ(0) = 497, \) and \( Q(0) = 200, \) and the parameter values are \( \Lambda = 400, \delta_i = 0.0002, \nu_e = 0.2, \eta = 0.0005, \psi = 0.06, \mu_i = \mu_q = 0.16979, \rho_q = 0.03275, \delta_i = 0.002, \nu_i = 0.02, \)
\[ d = 0.0096, \text{ and assuming the values of } \beta = 0.000017, \rho_i = 0.03275. \] Using the Mathematica package, we apply our techniques of CFD and RK-5 to solve the proposed model 2.1.

Figs. 3–7 represent the solution of the system (2.1). It can be demonstrated that the RK-5 method gives a better approximation than the CFD method.

All figures show that the results of the model converge to their equilibrium points. In Figs. 8–12, we introduce solutions with different values of \( \delta_i = 0.002, 0.001, \) and \( 0.003 \) that represent the imposition of lockdown on infected individuals to support the validity of our results.

Finally, from all the figures, we can confirm the effectiveness of the proposed algorithms and their computationally appropriate use of numerical handling of the given model.
In this section, we present a numerical simulation of the spatiotemporal model 2.3 with initial conditions 2.4 and boundary conditions 2.5 beginning with dividing the domain of \( x \in [0,1] \) and \( t \in [0,200] \) into \( 10^2 \times 200 \) cubes with step size \( h = 0.1 \) and \( \tau = 1 \).

For this, we apply finite difference using

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial f(t,x)}{\partial t} &= \frac{f^n_{i+1} - f^n_i}{\tau}, \\
\frac{\partial^2 f(t,x)}{\partial x^2} &= \frac{f^n_{i+1} - 2f^n_i + f^n_{i-1}}{h^2}, \\
\frac{\partial f(t,x)}{\partial x} &= \frac{f^n_{i+1} - f^n_{i-1}}{2h},
\end{align*}
\]  

(5.1)

and then discretizing the system and its boundary conditions we get the following results,
\( S_i^{n+1} = S_i^n + \frac{\tau C_1}{h^2} \left( (S_i)^{n+1}_{i+1} - 2(S_i)^{n+1}_i + (S_i)^{n+1}_{i-1} \right) + \Lambda - \beta(L)(S_i)^{n+1}_i - \delta_q(S_i)^{n+1}(Q_i)^n - d(S_i)^{n+1}_i - \mu_q(IQ_i)^n + \mu_q(IQ_{i+1})^n + \nu_i(S_i)^{n+1}_i, \)

(\ref{eqn:5.2})

\( (S_Q)^{n+1}_i = (S_Q)^n_i + \frac{\tau C_1}{h^2} \left( (S_Q)^{n+1}_{i+1} - 2(S_Q)^{n+1}_i + (S_Q)^{n+1}_{i-1} \right) + L_i^\delta (S_Q)^{n+1}_i - d(S_Q)^{n+1}_i - \nu_i(S_Q)^{n+1}_i, \)

(\ref{eqn:5.3})

\( I_i^{n+1} = I_i^n + \frac{\tau C_1}{h^2} (I_i^{n+1}_{i+1} - 2I_i^{n+1}_i + I_i^{n+1}_{i-1}) + \beta(S) I_i^{n+1}_i - \mu(IQ_i)^n - \rho_{i+1} - \rho_i I_i^{n+1}_i - \delta_i I_i^{n+1}_i + v_i(IQ_i)^n, \)

(\ref{eqn:5.4})

\( (I_Q)^{n+1}_i = (I_Q)^n_i + \frac{\tau C_1}{h^2} (I_Q)^{n+1}_{i+1} - 2(I_Q)^n_i + (I_Q)^{n+1}_{i-1}) + \delta_i I_Q_i^{n+1} - d(I_Q)^n_i - \nu_i(I_Q)^n_i - \mu_q(IQ_i)^n_i + \rho_{i+1} - \rho_i(IQ_i)^n_i, \)

(\ref{eqn:5.5})

\( Q^{n+1}_i = Q^n_i + \frac{\tau C_1}{h^2} (Q^{n+1}_i - 2Q^{n+1}_i + Q^{n+1}_{i-1}) + \eta I^n_i - \psi Q^{n+1}_i. \)

(\ref{eqn:5.6})

5.1. Stability of numerical scheme

In this subsection, we will test Von Neumann stability for the numerical method that we have applied.

Assume

\[ S_i^n = \xi_i^n e^{i\omega t}, \]
\[ S_i^{n+1} = \xi_i^{n+1} e^{i\omega t}, \]
\[ S_i^{n+1} = \xi_i^{n+1} e^{i\omega t}, \]
\[ S_i^{n+1} = \xi_i^{n+1} e^{i\omega t} - \delta_i \xi_i^{n+1} e^{i\omega t}, \]
\[ S_i^{n+1} = \xi_i^{n+1} e^{i\omega t} + \mu_i(IQ_i)^n_i + \nu_i(S_Q)^n_i, \]

substitute from (\ref{eqn:5.7}) in equation (\ref{eqn:5.2}) we get the following relation,

\[ G_1 = 1 + \frac{\tau C_1}{h^2} (G_1 e^{ik\omega h} - 2G_1e^{j\omega h}) + \Lambda - \beta G_1(IQ_i)^n_i - \delta_q G_1(Q_i)^n_i - dG_1 + \mu(IQ_i)^n_i + \mu_q(IQ_i)^n_i + \nu_i(S_Q)^n_i, \]

Define the amplification factor \( G_1 = \frac{S_i^{n+1}}{S_i^n} \), we can compute \( G_1 \) by dividing equation (\ref{eqn:5.8}) by \( S_i^n \) and obtain

\[ G_1 = 1 + \frac{\tau C_1}{h^2} \left( G_1 e^{j\omega h} - 2G_1e^{j\omega h} \right) + \Lambda - \beta G_1(IQ_i)^n_i - \delta_q G_1(Q_i)^n_i - dG_1 + \mu(IQ_i)^n_i + \mu_q(IQ_i)^n_i + \nu_i(S_Q)^n_i, \]

Similarly, repeating the previous steps to equations (\ref{eqn:5.3})–(\ref{eqn:5.6}) for \( (S_Q)^n_i, I_i^n, (I_Q)^n_i, \) and \( Q_i^n \) with \( (S_Q)^n_i = \xi_2^n e^{j\omega h}, I_i^n = \xi_3^n e^{j\omega h}, (I_Q)^n_i = \xi_4^n e^{j\omega h}, \) and \( Q_i^n = \xi_5^n e^{j\omega h} \) respectively, we also obtain

\[ G_2 = 1 + \frac{\tau C_1}{h^2} \frac{1}{\sin^2 \left( \frac{kS_i h}{2} \right) + \tau (v_i + d)} \leq 1, \]

(\ref{eqn:5.10})

\[ G_3 = 1 + \frac{\tau C_1}{h^2} \frac{1}{\sin^2 \left( \frac{kS_i h}{2} \right) + \tau (v_i + d + v_i)} \leq 1, \]

(\ref{eqn:5.11})

\[ G_4 = 1 + \frac{\tau C_1}{h^2} \frac{1}{\sin^2 \left( \frac{kS_i h}{2} \right) + \tau (v_i + d + v_i + \mu_q)} \leq 1, \]

(\ref{eqn:5.12})
\[ G_2 = \frac{1}{1 + 4 \left( \frac{\nu a}{\pi^2} \sin^2 \left( \frac{k_d}{2} \right) + \tau \psi \right)} \leq 1. \]  (5.13)

Where \( \nu_a = \beta I_i^n + \delta_i Q_i^n, \nu_b = \delta_i Q_i^n - \beta S_i^n \) and \( J = \sqrt{-1} \).

So \( G_i \leq 1, i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 \) which is the necessary and sufficient condition for the error to remain bounded and maintain von Neumann stability for the numerical method.

5.2. Consistency

In this subsection, we will use Taylor expansion to prove that this numerical scheme is first-order consistent in \( t \) and second-order consistent in \( x \). For this, we use

\[
\Phi_S = \frac{S_i^n + 1 - S_i^n}{\tau} - \frac{C_i}{h^2} \left( S_{i+1}^{n+1} - 2S_{i}^{n+1} + S_{i-1}^{n+1} \right) - \Delta + \beta(S_i^n - \delta_i Q_i^n + d(S_i^n - \mu_i I_i^n) - \mu_i Q_i^n)
\]

\[
- \mu_i (I_Q^n - \nu_i Q_i^n),
\]

which also go to zero as \( \tau, h \) become zero. For this reason, the order of accuracy of this numerical method is \( h^2 + \tau \).

5.3. Results

By solve the system (5.2)–(5.6) with the values of parameters that were extracted and discussed in sections 3 and 4, taking the values \( C_i = 0.01 \) and \( i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 \).

The Neumann boundary condition states that in complete lockdown, nobody can leave or enter the region. We can see in Fig. 13 that the numerical solution at the endemic equilibrium state at the selected parameters has good agreement with the chosen parameters.

All classes attain their maximum value in a specific region \( x \) and then decrease when they go away from this area.

6. Effects of parameters

The value of each parameter in the model affects the spread of the disease. The most important issue in controlling epidemics is creating lockdown to reduce relationships between individuals. In these models (temporal and spatio-temporal), we studied the effect of some parameters on infection classes \( I \) and \( I_Q \). In these models, \( \beta \) represents the rate of infectious contact, which in turn affects the increase or decrease in the number of infected people, as shown in Fig. 14. The lower the values of \( \beta \) decrease the infection rate, which indicates that the lack of contact between people leads to the disappearance of the epidemic or at least a decrease in cases of disease. On the other hand, as shown in Fig. 15, by reducing \( \nu \), which represents the transmission of people from \( I_Q \) to \( I \) class, the number of infections decreases with the passage of time, which shows the role of isolation in reducing the spread of the disease. We also note an increase in \( \mu_q \), which represents the percentage of people recovering from the disease and were under lockdown, significantly reducing the number of infected people and under lockdown, as shown in Fig. 16b and d, but the
Fig. 13. Numerical simulation results for disease free equilibrium point.
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Fig. 14. Numerical simulation of $I$, $I_0$ with different values of $\beta = 15 \times 10^{-6}$ and $15 \times 10^{-7}$.

(a) $I(t)$  
(b) $I_0(t)$  
(c) $I(t, x)$ space  
(d) $I_0(t, x)$ space
Fig. 15. Numerical simulation of $I, IQ$ with different values of $v_i = 0.02, 0.002, 0.0002$.

Fig. 16. Numerical simulation of $I, IQ$ with different values of $\mu_q = 0.15, 0.25, 0.45$. 
percentage of infected people continues to increase as in Fig. 16a and c. In Fig. 17, we made a comparison of different values of $\delta_s$ and their impact on the spread of the epidemic, where $\delta_s$ represents the rate of imposing the lockdown on healthy people who are exposed to infection. We find that by increasing the percentage of isolation, the number of epidemic infections decreases significantly.

7. Conclusion

In this paper, a comprehensive numerical study of lockdown models for COVID-19 is presented, focusing on both temporal and spatio-temporal aspects. The reproduction number is discussed as a crucial indicator for estimating the spread of the virus. The analysis includes a sensitivity analysis to assess the significance of pandemic parameters. Moreover, the stability regions of the models are investigated, along with the Von Neumann stability and consistency of the numerical scheme applied to the spatio-temporal model. Numerical methods such as the CFD and RK-5 are employed to analyze the numerical results and facilitate comparison under various parameters. The findings provide valuable insights into the control and mitigation of COVID-19, contributing to our understanding of the disease dynamics and the effectiveness of different intervention strategies. The graphical numerical results of spatiotemporal results showed that all classes attain their maximum value in a specific region and then decrease when people go away from this area, which means that lockdown is an excellent control for decreasing infection. We discussed the effect of some parameters on controlling the spread of infection between individuals. Results showed that $\beta$ and $\nu_i$ have a direct impact on the number of infections $I(t)$, so that $I(t)$ increase or decrease by increasing or decreasing of them, while $\mu_q$ have an inverse impact on $I(t)$ and a direct impact on $I_Q(t)$ and finally $\delta_s$ which have an inverse impact on both $I(t)$ and $I_Q(t)$, so an increase in $\delta_s$ leads to a decrease in $I(t)$ and $I_Q(t)$. This shows the importance of applying lockdown to reduce infection and control it. Overall, this study contributes to the existing body of knowledge by providing a mathematical formulation and numerical analysis of lockdown models for COVID-19. The results offer valuable guidance for policymakers and healthcare professionals in implementing effective measures to control the spread of the virus and mitigate its impact on public health and the economy. Further research and refinement of these models can continue to enhance our understanding of the complex dynamics of infectious diseases and inform evidence-based decision-making in pandemic situations.
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