# Al-Azhar Bulletin of Science

Volume 34 | Issue 2

Article 8

## 2023

Section: Mathematics and Statistics

## Soft Topological Notions Via Molodtsov Model

#### A.A. Nasef

Department of Physics and Engineering Mathematics, Faculty of Engineering , Kafrelsheikh University, Kafrelsheikh (33516), Egypt.

#### A.I. Aggour

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Al-Azhar University, Nasr City (11884), Cairo, Egypt.

#### A. Fathy

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Al-Azhar University, Nasr City (11884), Cairo, Egypt., ahmedfathy@azhar.edu.eg

## S.M. Darwesh Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Al-Azhar University, Nasr City (11884), Cairo, Egypt.

Follow this and additional works at: https://absb.researchcommons.org/journal

### How to Cite This Article

Nasef, A.A.; Aggour, A.I.; Fathy, A.; and Darwesh, S.M. (2023) "Soft Topological Notions Via Molodtsov Model," *Al-Azhar Bulletin of Science*: Vol. 34: Iss. 2, Article 8. DOI: https://doi.org/10.58675/2636-3305.1648

This Original Article is brought to you for free and open access by Al-Azhar Bulletin of Science. It has been accepted for inclusion in Al-Azhar Bulletin of Science by an authorized editor of Al-Azhar Bulletin of Science. For more information, please contact kh\_Mekheimer@azhar.edu.eg.

# original article Soft Topological Notions via Molodtsov Model

Arafa Abdel-zaher Nasef<sup>a</sup>,\*, Atef Ibrahim Aggour<sup>b</sup>, Ahmed Fathy<sup>b</sup>, Saad Mohamed Darwesh<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Physics and Engineering Mathematics, Faculty of Engineering, Kafrelsheikh University, Kafrelsheikh 33516, Egypt <sup>b</sup> Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Al-Azhar University, Nasr City 11884, Cairo, Egypt

#### Abstract

In the present paper, we introduce a new concept of soft sets called soft  $g(\beta, \omega)$ -closed sets. Also, we study the basic properties of this new concept and we investigate the relation between soft  $g(\beta, \omega)$ -closed sets and some of the other soft sets. Finally, we introduce the concept of soft  $g(\beta, \omega)$ -continuous map and we study the relationship between the new concept and some of the other types of soft continuity.

#### 2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 54B05, 54C08, 54D05

*Keywords:* Soft  $g(\beta, \omega)$  closed set, Soft  $g(\beta, \omega)$  -continuous map, Soft set

#### 1. Introduction

 ${f M}$  olodtsov [1] introduced the soft set in 1999. The soft sets were employed in application by Maji et al. Furthermore, soft information is a particular information class. Shabir and Naz explored some more fundamental features and introduced the soft topological space in [2]. Following that, some topological research discovered several fresh varieties of near soft open sets and investigated both their individual and interrelated characteristics. K. Kannan first discussed the idea of a soft generalised closed set in [3]. Many different soft generalised closed set types were then defined by various topologists. In this article, we introduced a brand-new class of soft generalised sets termed soft  $(\beta, \omega)$ -closed and described its fundamental characteristics. Recent years have seen a significant growth in the number of articles regarding soft sets and their applications in numerous disciplines, as demonstrated in [4-6].

#### 2. Preliminaries

In this section, we present the basic definition and some results of soft set theory. Let  $\Re$  be a universal set and  $\kappa$  be the set of parameters,  $P(\Re)$  is the power set of  $\Re, A \subseteq \kappa$  and the soft set will be denoted by S-set.

Received 18 June 2023; revised 25 July 2023; accepted 27 July 2023. Available online 13 October 2023 **Definition 2.1** [1]. A S-set  $(\Omega, A)$  on  $\Re$  is defined by the set of ordered pairs  $(\Omega, A) = \{(\hbar, \Omega_A(\hbar)) : \hbar \in \kappa, \Omega_A(\hbar) \in P(V)\},$  where  $\Omega_A : A \to P(\Re).$ 

**Definition 2.2** [1,7]. A S-set  $(\Omega, A)$  is called null Sset if for all,  $\hbar \in A$ , then  $\Omega(\hbar) = \varphi$  and its denoted by  $\tilde{\phi}$ . A S-set  $(\Omega, A)$  is called absolute S-set if for all  $a \in A$ ,  $\Omega(\hbar) = \Re$  and its denoted by  $\tilde{\Re}$ .

**Definition 2.3 [1,7].** Let  $(\Omega, A)$  and  $(\Psi, \beta)$  be two Ssets over  $\mathfrak{R}$ . Then, the union of  $(\Omega, A)$  and  $(\Psi, \beta)$  is a S-set (H, C) where  $C = (A \cup \beta)$  and

$$\begin{split} H(\hbar) &= \Omega(\hbar) \quad \text{if} \quad \hbar \in A - \beta, H(\hbar) = \Psi(\hbar) \quad \text{if} \\ \hbar \in \beta - A, H(\hbar) &= \Omega(\hbar) \cup \Psi(\hbar) \text{ if } \hbar \in A \cap \beta. \end{split}$$

**Definition 2.4.** [1,7] Let  $(\Omega, A)$  and  $(\Psi, \beta)$  be two Ssets over  $\mathfrak{N}$ . The intersection of  $(\Omega, A)$  and  $(\Psi, \beta)$  is a S-set (F, D) where  $D = A \cap \beta, F(\hbar) = \Omega(\hbar) \cap \Psi(\hbar)$ , for all  $\hbar \in D$ .

**Definition 2.5.** [1,7] A S-set  $(\Omega, A)$  is called a Ssubset of  $(\Psi, \beta)$  if  $A \subseteq \beta$  and  $\Omega(\hbar) \subseteq \Psi(\hbar)$  for all  $a \in A$ . We write  $(\Omega, A) \subseteq (\Psi, \beta)$ .

**Definition 2.6 [1,7].** Let  $(\Omega, \kappa), (\Psi, \kappa)$  be two S-sets over  $\mathfrak{R}$ . Then, the difference of  $(\Omega, \kappa), (\Psi, \kappa)$  is denoted by  $(H, C) = (\Omega, \kappa) \setminus (\Psi, \kappa)$  such that H(C) = $\Omega(\mathfrak{h}) \setminus \Psi(\mathfrak{h})$  for all  $\mathfrak{h}$  in A.

**Definition 2.7.** [1,7] The relative complement of  $(\Omega, A)$  is denoted by  $(\Omega, A)^c = (\Omega^c, A)$  where  $\Omega^c : A \rightarrow P(\Re)$  given by  $\Omega^c(\hbar) = \Re \setminus \Omega(\hbar)$  for all  $\hbar$  in A.

\* Corresponding author. E-mail address: ahmedfathy@azhar.edu.eg (A.A.-z. Nasef).

https://doi.org/10.21608/2636-3305.1648

<sup>2636-3305/© 2023,</sup> The Authors. Published by Al-Azhar university, Faculty of science. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND 4.0 Licence (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

**Definition 2.8** [2,8]. Let  $\tau$  be a collection of S-sets over  $\Re$ . Then,  $\tau$  is called a S-topology on  $\Re$  if the following axioms are satisfied:

(1)  $\tilde{\varphi}, \tilde{\Re} \in \tau$ .

(2) The union of arbitrary S-sets in  $\tau$  belongs to  $\tau$ 

(3) The intersection of two S-sets in  $\tau$  belongs to  $\tau$ .

The triple  $(\mathfrak{N}, \tau, \kappa)$  is called a S-topological space and the members of  $\tau$  are called S-open sets and its complement are called S-closed sets.

**Definition 2.9.** [2,8] The S-interior of  $(\Omega, \kappa)$  is the union of all S-open sets of topological space  $(\mathfrak{R}, \tau, \kappa)$  contained in  $(\Omega, \kappa)$  and its denoted by  $int(\Omega, \kappa)$ .

**Definition 2.10.** [2,8] The S-closure of  $(\Omega, \kappa)$  is the intersection of all S-closed sets containing and its denoted by  $cl(\Omega, \kappa)$ .

**Definition 2.11.** [6,9–11] Let  $(\mathfrak{N}, \tau, \kappa)$  be a S-topological space. Then,  $(\Omega, \kappa)$  is said to be:

(1) A S- $\alpha$ -open set if  $(\Omega, \kappa) \tilde{\subseteq} int(cl(int(\Omega, \kappa)))$ .

(2) A S-semi-open set if  $(\Omega, \kappa) \subseteq cl(int(\Omega, \kappa))$ 

(3) A S-pre open set if  $(\Omega, \kappa) \tilde{\subseteq} int(cl(\Omega, \kappa))$ .

(4) A S-*b*-open set if  $(\Omega, \kappa) \subseteq int(cl(\Omega, \kappa)) \cup cl(int(\Omega, \kappa))$ .

(5) A S- $\beta$ -open set if  $(\Omega, \kappa) \subseteq cl(int(cl(\Omega, \kappa)))$ .

The family of all S- $\alpha$ -open (resp. S-semi-open, Spre open, S-*b*-open and S- $\beta$ -open) sets in a S-topological space ( $\Re, \tau, \kappa$ ), is denoted by  $S\alpha O$  (resp. *SSO*, *SPO*, *SbO* and *S* $\beta O$ .

**Definition 2.12.** [6,9–11] A S-set  $(\Omega, \kappa)$  of a S-topological space  $(\mathfrak{N}, \tau, \kappa)$  is called S- $\alpha$ -closed (resp. Ssemi-closed, S-pre closed, S-b-closed and S- $\beta$ -closed) sets if its complements is S- $\alpha$ -open (resp. S-semi-open, S-b-open and S- $\beta$ -open) sets.

Definition 2.13. [6,9–11] Let  $(\mathfrak{N}, \tau, \kappa)$  be a S-topological space and  $(\Omega, \kappa)$  be a S-set. Then, The, intersection of all S- $\alpha$ -closed (resp. S-semi-closed, S-pre closed, S-*b*-closed and S- $\beta$ -closed) sets containing  $(\Omega, \kappa)$  is called S- $\alpha$ -closure (resp. S-semi-closure, S-pre closure, S-*b*-closure and S- $\beta$ -closure) of  $(\Omega, \kappa)$  and its denoted by  $S\alpha cl(\Omega, \kappa)$  (resp.  $SScl(\Omega, \kappa)$ ,  $SPcl(\Omega, \kappa)$ ,

(1)  $Sbcl(\Omega, \kappa)$  and  $S\beta cl(\Omega, \kappa)$ .

(2) The union of all S-α-open (resp. S-semi-open, S-pre open, S-b-open and S-β-open) sets containing in (Ω, κ) is called S-α-interior (resp. S-semi-interior, S-pre interior, S-b- interior and S-β-interior) of (Ω, κ) and it is denoted by Sαint(Ω, κ) (resp. SSint(Ω, κ), Spint(Ω, κ), Sbint(Ω, κ) and Sβint(Ω, κ).

**Definition 2.14.** [12–14] A S-subset  $(\Omega, \kappa)$  of a S-topological space  $(\mathfrak{R}, \tau, \kappa)$  is called:

- (1) A S-generalized closed set (sg-closed) if  $(\Omega,\kappa)\subseteq(\Psi,\kappa)$  and  $(\Psi,\kappa)$  is S-open implies that  $cl(\Omega,\kappa)\subseteq(\Psi,\kappa)$
- (2) A S-semi-generalized closed set (SSg-closed set) if (Ω, κ)⊆(Ψ, κ) and (Ψ, κ) is S-semi-open implies that SScl(Ω, κ)⊆(Ψ, κ)
- (3) A generalized S-semi-closed set (SgS-closed set) if (Ω, κ)⊆(Ψ, κ) and (Ψ, κ) is S-open implies that SScl(Ω, κ)⊆(Ψ, κ)
- (4) A S-α-generalized closed set (S α g-closed set) if (Ω, κ)⊆(Ψ, κ) and (Ψ, κ) is S-α-open implies that SScl(Ω, κ)⊆(Ψ, κ)
- (5) A S-generalized  $\alpha$ -closed set (Sg  $\alpha$ -closed set) if  $(\Omega, \kappa) \subseteq (\Psi, \kappa)$  and  $(\Psi, \kappa)$  is S-open implies that  $S\alpha cl(\Omega, \kappa) \subseteq (\Psi, \kappa)$ .
- (6) A S-α-closed set (S ω-closed set) if (Ω, κ)⊆(Ψ, κ) and (Ψ, κ) is S-semi-open set implies that Scl(Ω, κ)⊆(Ψ, κ).
- (7) A S-generalized pre closed set (*Sgp*-closed set) if  $(\Omega, \kappa) \subseteq (\Psi, \kappa)$  and  $(\Psi, \kappa)$  is S-open set implies that  $Spcl(\Omega, \kappa) \subseteq (\Psi, \kappa)$ .

**Definition 2.15.** [15] A map  $\heartsuit$ :  $(\Re, \tau, \kappa)$   $(V, \tau', \kappa')$  is called:

- (i) A S-continuous map if <sup>∇<sup>-1</sup></sup>(Ω', κ') is a S-open set in (ℜ, τ, κ), for every S-open set (Ω', κ') in (V, τ', κ').
- (ii) A S-α- continuous map if O<sup>-1</sup>(Ω', κ') is a α-S-open set in (ℜ, τ, κ), for every S-open set (Ω', κ') in (V, τ', κ').
- (iii) A S-semi continuous map if 
  <sup>O<sup>-1</sup></sup>(Ω', κ') is a S-semi-open set in (ℜ, τ, κ), for every S-open set (Ω', κ') in (V, τ', κ').

#### **3.** A Soft generalized $(\beta, \omega)$ -closed set

**Definition 3.1.** Let  $(\mathfrak{N}, \tau, \kappa)$  be a S-topological space. If  $(\Omega, \kappa) \subseteq (\mathfrak{N}, \kappa)$  and  $(\mathfrak{N}, \kappa)$  is S- $\omega$ -open set implies that  $\beta cl(\Omega, \kappa) \subseteq int(\mathfrak{N}, \kappa)$ , then  $(\Omega, \kappa)$  is called a S-generalized  $g(\beta, \omega)$  closed set. The set of all S-generalized  $g(\beta, \omega)$  closed sets is denoted by  $Sg(\beta, \omega)c$ .

In this paper, we consider  $\mathfrak{N} = \{\mathfrak{N}_1, \mathfrak{N}_2\}$  and  $= \{\mathfrak{h}_1, \mathfrak{h}_2\}, (\Omega, \kappa) = \tilde{\mathfrak{N}} = \{(\mathfrak{h}_1, \mathfrak{N}), (\mathfrak{h}_2, \mathfrak{N})\}, (\Omega_2, \kappa) = \tilde{\phi} = \{(\mathfrak{h}_1, \phi), (\mathfrak{h}_2, \phi)\}, (\Omega_3, \kappa) = \{(\mathfrak{h}_1, \{\mathfrak{N}_1\}), (\mathfrak{h}_2, \{\mathfrak{N}_1\})\}, (\Omega_4, \kappa) = \{(\mathfrak{h}_1, \{\mathfrak{N}_2\}), (\mathfrak{h}_2, \{\mathfrak{N}_2\})\}, (\Omega_5, \kappa) = \{(\mathfrak{h}_1, \mathfrak{N}), (\mathfrak{h}_2, \phi)\}, (\phi)\},$ 

 $\begin{aligned} (\Omega_6, \kappa) &= \{ (\hbar_1, \Re), (\hbar_2, \Re_1) \}, (\Omega_7, \kappa) = \{ (\hbar_1, \Re), (\hbar_2, \\ \{ \Re_2 \}) \}, (\Omega_8, \kappa) &= \{ (\hbar_1, \phi), \end{aligned}$ 

 $\begin{array}{l} (\hbar_2,\{\Re_1\})\}, (\Omega_9,\kappa) = \{(\hbar_1,\phi), (\hbar_2,\{\Re_2\})\}, (\Omega_{10},\kappa) = \\ \{(\hbar_1,\{\Re_1\}), (\hbar_2,\{\Re_2\})\}, \end{array}$ 

 $(\Omega_{11},\kappa) =$ 

$$\begin{split} &\{(\hbar_1,\phi),(\hbar_2,\Re)\}, (\Omega_{12},\kappa) = \{(\hbar_1,\{\Re_1\}),(\hbar_2,\Re)\}, \\ &(\Omega_{13},\kappa) = \{(\hbar_1,\{\Re_2\}), \end{split}$$

 $\begin{array}{ll} (\hbar_2, \Re) \}, (\Omega_{14}, \kappa) = \{ (\hbar_1, \{ \Re_1 \}), (\hbar_2, \phi) \}, & (\Omega_{15}, \ \kappa) = \\ \{ (\hbar_1, \{ \Re_2 \}), (\hbar_2, \phi) \}, \end{array}$ 

 $(\Omega_{16},\kappa) = \{(\hbar_1,\{\Re_2\}), (\hbar_2,\{\Re_2\})\}$ 

**Example 3.1.** Let  $\tau = \{\mathfrak{N}, \tilde{\phi}, \Omega_3, \Omega_6, \Omega_{13}\}$ . Then,  $\tau^c = \{\tilde{\mathfrak{N}}, \tilde{\phi}, \Omega_4, \Omega_9, \Omega_{15}\}$ . We have that  $g(\beta, \omega)c = \{\Omega_1, \Omega_2, \Omega_4, \Omega_5, \Omega_7, \Omega_9, \Omega_{11}, \Omega_{13}, \Omega_{15}, \Omega_{16}\}$ .

**Proposition 3.1.** A S-set  $(\Omega, \kappa)$  is S- $\omega$ -open if and inly if  $(\Psi, \kappa) \subseteq int(\Omega, \kappa)$ , whenever  $(\Psi, \kappa)$  is S-semiclosed and  $(\Psi, \kappa) \subseteq (\Omega, \kappa)$ .

**Proof.** Let  $(\Omega, \kappa)$  be S- $\omega$ -open. Then  $(\Omega^c, \kappa)$  is a S- $\omega$ -closed set. So,  $cl(\Omega^c, \kappa)\tilde{\subseteq}(\Psi, \kappa)$ , whenever  $(\Omega^c, \kappa)\tilde{\subseteq}(\Psi_1, \kappa)$ , where  $(\Psi_1, \kappa)$  is S-semi-open. Hence,  $(\Psi_1^c, \kappa)$  is S-semi-closed and so  $\operatorname{int}(cl(\Psi^c, \kappa))\tilde{\subseteq}(\Psi^c, \kappa)$ . If we assume that  $\Psi_1^c = \Psi$ , then  $\operatorname{int}(cl(\Psi, \kappa))\tilde{\subseteq}(\Psi, \kappa)$ . Since  $cl(\psi^c, \kappa)\tilde{\subseteq}(\psi, \kappa)$ , then  $(\psi, \kappa)\tilde{\subseteq}\operatorname{int}(\Omega, \kappa)$ . Conversely, let  $(\Omega, \kappa)$  be a S-set such that  $(\psi, \kappa)\tilde{\subseteq}(\Omega, \kappa)$ . Then,  $(\Omega^c, \kappa)\tilde{\subseteq}(\psi^c, \kappa)$ , wherever  $(\psi^c, \kappa)$  is S-semi-closed and  $(\psi, \kappa)\tilde{\subseteq}(\Omega, \kappa)$ . Then,  $(\Omega^c, \kappa)\tilde{\subseteq}(\psi^c, \kappa)$ , wherever  $(\psi^c, \kappa)$  is S-semi-open set implied that  $(\Omega^c, \kappa)\tilde{\subseteq}cl(\operatorname{int}(\psi^c, \kappa))$  then  $cl(\Omega^c, \kappa)\subseteq cl(\operatorname{int}(\psi^c, \kappa))$ . Since

 $[cl(int(\psi^c, \kappa))]$  is semi-open set, then  $(\Omega^c, \kappa)$  is S- $\omega$ -closed set and so  $(\Omega, \kappa)$  is S- $\omega$ -open.

**Proposition 3.2.** Every S-semi-closed set is a  $Sg(\beta, \omega) - C$  set.

**Proof.** Let  $(\psi, \kappa)$  be a S-semi-closed set and  $(\psi, \kappa) \underline{\tilde{\subseteq}}(\Omega, \kappa)$ , where  $(\Omega, \kappa)$  is S- $\omega$ -open set. By Proposition 3.1, we have that  $(\psi, \kappa)\underline{\tilde{\subseteq}}int(\Omega, \kappa)$ . Also, since  $(\psi, \kappa)$  is S-semi-closed, then  $(\psi, \kappa)$  is S- $\beta$ -closed, and so

 $S\beta cl(\psi, \kappa) = (\psi, \kappa) \tilde{\subseteq} int(\Omega, \kappa)$ . Hence  $(\psi, \kappa)$  is a  $Sg(\beta, \omega) - C$  set.

We not that the converse of the proposition 3.2 may not be a true, in general as shown in Example 3.1.

**Example 3.2.** Continue to Example 3.1, we have that S-sets.

 $\{\Omega_5, \Omega_7, \Omega_{10}, \Omega_{11}, \Omega_{13}, \Omega_{14}, \Omega_{16}\}$  are  $S - (\beta, \omega)$ -closed sets but not S-semi-closed sets.

**Proposition 3.3.** Every S-closed set is a  $Sg(\beta, \omega) - C$  set.

**Proof.** Let  $(\psi, \kappa)$  be a S-closed set and  $(\psi, \kappa) \subseteq (\Omega, \kappa)$ , where  $(\Omega, \kappa)$  is S- $\omega$ -open. Then, by Proposition 3.1  $(\psi, \kappa) \subseteq int(\Omega, \kappa)$ . Since  $(\psi, \kappa)$  is a S-closed set, then  $(\psi, \kappa)$  is a S- $\beta$ -closed set and then  $S\beta cl(\psi, \kappa) = (\psi, \kappa) \subseteq$  $int(\Omega, \kappa)$ . Hence,  $(\psi, \kappa)$  is  $Sg(\beta, \omega) - C$ 

We note that the converse of the proposition 3.3 may not be a true, in general as shown in Example 3.1.

**Example 3.3.** Continue to Example 3.1, we have that the S-sets.

 $\{\Omega_5, \Omega_7, \Omega_{10}, \Omega_{11}, \Omega_{13}, \Omega_{16}\}$  are  $Sg(\beta, \omega) - C$  sets but not S-closed sets.

**Proposition 3.4.** Every S-*α*-closed set is a  $Sg(\beta, \omega) - C$  set.

**Proof.** Let  $(\psi, \kappa)$  be a S- $\alpha$ -closed set and  $(\psi, \kappa) \subseteq (\Omega, \kappa)$ , where  $(\Omega, \kappa)$  is S–W-open. Then, by Lemma 3.1 we have that  $(\psi, \kappa) \subseteq int(\Omega, \kappa)$ . Since  $(\psi, \kappa)$  be S- $\alpha$ -closed, then  $(\psi, \kappa)$  is a S- $\beta$ -closed and  $S\beta cl(\psi, \kappa) = (\psi, \kappa) \subseteq int(\Omega, \kappa)$ . Hence,  $(\psi, \kappa)$  is  $Sg(\beta, \omega) - C$  set.

We note that the converse of the proposition 3.4 may not be a true, in general as shown in Example 3.1.

**Example 3.4.** Continue to Example 3.1, we have that the S-set  $\Omega_5$  is  $Sg(\beta, \omega) - C$  set but not S- $\alpha$ -closed.

**Proposition 3.5.** Arbitrary intersection of  $Sg(\beta, \omega) - C$  sets is also  $Sg(\beta, \omega) - C$  set.

**Proof.** Let  $(\Omega_{\lambda}, \kappa)$  be  $Sg(\beta, \omega) - C$  sets in the S-topological space  $(\mathfrak{N}, \tau, \kappa)$ . Then,  $S\beta cl(\Omega_{\lambda}, \kappa) \subseteq int(\mathfrak{N}_{\lambda}, \kappa)$ , for each  $\lambda$ , whenever  $(\Omega_{\lambda}, \kappa) \subseteq (\mathfrak{N}_{\lambda}, \kappa)$ , are S- $\omega$ -open sets. Hence, we have that  $\bigcap_{\lambda} S\beta cl(\Omega_{\lambda}, \kappa) \subseteq \bigcap_{\lambda} int(\mathfrak{N}_{\lambda}, \kappa)$ , for each  $\lambda$ , whenever  $\bigcap_{\lambda} (\Omega_{\lambda}, \kappa) \subseteq (\mathfrak{N}_{\lambda}, \kappa)$ . Since  $\bigcap_{\lambda} (\Omega_{\lambda}, \kappa)$  is S- $\omega$ -open, then  $\bigcap_{\lambda} (\Omega_{\lambda}, \kappa)$  is a  $Sg(\beta, \omega) - C$ set.

**Remark 3.1.** The S-union of two  $Sg(\beta, \omega) - C$  sets may not be a  $Sg(\beta, \omega) - C$  set. This is clear from the following example.

**Example 3.5.** Continue to Example 3.1, we have that  $\Omega_5, \Omega_{16}$  are  $S(\beta, \omega) - C$  sets but  $\Omega_5 \cup \Omega_{16} = \Omega_6 \notin Sg(\beta, \omega) - C$ .

**Remark 3.2.** The concept of S-generalized  $(\beta, \omega)$  closed set and S- $\beta$ -closed sets are independent. This is clear from the following example.

**Example 3.6.** Continue to Example 3.1, we have that  $\Omega_8$  is S- $\beta$ - closed set but not generalized ( $\beta$ ,  $\omega$ )-closed set.

**Example 3.7.** Continue to Example 3.1, let  $\tau = \{\Omega_1, \Omega_2, \Omega_5\}$ . Then, we have that  $\tau^c = \{\Omega_1, \Omega_2, \Omega_4\}\{\Omega_5, \Omega_6, \Omega_7\}$  are S-generalized  $(\beta, \omega)$ -closed set but int S- $\beta$ -closed set.

**Remark 3.3.** The concept of S-generalized  $\beta$ -closed set and S-closed sets are independent. This is clear from the following Example.

**Example 3.8.** Continue to Example 3.1, we have that  $\Omega_8$  is a S-pre closed set but its not S-generalized  $(\beta, \omega)$ -closed set.

**Example 3.9.** Continue to Example 3.1, we have get  $\Omega_5, \Omega_6, \Omega_7$  are S-generalized  $(\beta, \omega)$ -closed set nut not S-pre closed set.

**Remark 3.4.** The concept of S-g-closed sets and generalized  $(\beta, \omega)$ -closed sets are independent. This is clear from the following Example.

**Example 3.10.** Continue to Example 3.1, we have that  $\Omega_4, \Omega_5, \Omega_7, \Omega_{10}, \Omega_{11}, \Omega_{13}, \Omega_{14}, \Omega_{15}, \Omega_{16}$  are generalized  $(\beta, \omega)$ -closed sets but not generalized closed sets.

The same example above, say that  $\Omega_{12}$ ,  $\Omega_{16}$  are S-generalized closed sets but not S-generalized ( $\beta$ ,  $\omega$ )-closed set.

**Remark 3.5.** The concept of S-generalized ( $\beta$ ,  $\omega$ )-closed set and S- $\omega$ -closed set are independent this is clear form the following Example.

**Example 3.11.** Continue to Example 3.1, we have that  $\Omega_5, \Omega_{10}, \Omega_{11}, \Omega_{14}, \Omega_{16}$  are S-generalized ( $\beta$ ,  $\omega$ )-closed set but not S- $\omega$ -closed set.

**Example 3.12.** Continue to Example 3.1, let  $\tau = \{\Omega_1, \Omega_2\}, \tau^c = \{\Omega_1, \Omega_2\}$  we have that,  $\{\Omega_3, \Omega_4, \Omega_{16}\}$  are S- $\omega$ -closed set but not S-generalized ( $\beta$ ,  $\omega$ )-closed set.

**Remark 3.6.** The concept of S-generalized ( $\beta$ ,  $\omega$ )-closed set and S- $\alpha$ -generalized closed set are independent is clear form the following Example.

**Example 3.13.** Continue to Example 3.1, we have that  $\Omega_5, \Omega_7, \Omega_{10}, \Omega_{11}, \Omega_{13}, \Omega_{14}, \Omega_{16}$  are S-generalized  $(\beta, \omega)$ -closed set but not S- $\alpha$ -generalized closed set.

**Example 3.14.** Continue to Example 3.1, we have that  $(\Omega_3, ..., \Omega_{16})$  are S- $\alpha$ -generalized closed sets but S-generalized  $(\beta, \omega)$ -closed sets.

**Remark 3.7.** The concept of S-generalized ( $\beta$ ,  $\omega$ )-closed set and S-generalized semi-closed set are independent.

**Example 3.15.** Continue to Example 3.1, we have that  $\Omega_{10}, \Omega_{11}, \Omega_{14}, \Omega_{16}$  are generalized  $(\beta, \omega)$ -closed set but not S-generalized semi-closed set.

**Example 3.16.** Continue to Example 3.1, let { $\tau = \{\Omega_1, \Omega_2, \Omega_{10}, \Omega_{16}\}$ . We have that  $\{\Omega_3, \Omega_4, \Omega_5, \Omega_6, \Omega_7, \Omega_8, \Omega_9, \Omega_{10}, \Omega_{11}, \Omega_{12}, \Omega_{13}, \Omega_{14}\}$  are generlaized S-semi-closed but not S-generalized ( $\beta$ ,  $\omega$ )-closed

#### **4.** Soft generalized $(\beta, \omega)$ -continuous map

Definition 4.1. A map  $\mathfrak{O}: (\mathfrak{N}, \tau, \kappa) (V, \tau', \kappa')$  is called a S-generalized  $(\beta, \omega)$ -continuous map if  $\mathfrak{O}^{-1}(\Omega', \kappa')$ is S-generalized  $(\beta, \omega)$ -open set in  $(\mathfrak{N}, \tau, \kappa)$ , for every S-open set  $(\Omega', \kappa')$  in  $(V, \tau', \kappa')$ .

Example 4.1. Let  $\mathfrak{V}$ :  $(\mathfrak{N}, \tau, \kappa)$   $(V, \tau', \kappa')$  be a map, where  $(\mathfrak{N}, \tau, \kappa)$  is a S-topological space defined in Example 3.1 and Let  $V = \{a, b\}, \kappa' = \{\mathfrak{h}'_1, \mathfrak{h}'_2\}$  and  $(V, \tau', \kappa') = \{V, \phi, \Omega'_1, \Omega'_2, \Omega'_3\}$  such that  $\Omega'_1 = \{(\mathfrak{h}'_1, \{a\}), (\mathfrak{h}'_2, \{a\})\}, \quad \Omega'_2 = \{(\mathfrak{h}'_1, V), \quad (\mathfrak{h}'_2, \{a\})\}$ and  $\Omega'_3 = \{(\mathfrak{h}'_1, \{a\}), (\mathfrak{h}'_2, V).$  Then  $\mathfrak{V}$  is a S-generalized  $(\beta, \omega)$ -continuous map.

**Theorem 4.1.** The identity map  $I : (\mathfrak{N}, \tau, \kappa) \rightarrow (\mathfrak{N}, \tau, \kappa)$  is a S-generalized  $(\beta, \omega)$ -continuous map.

**Proof**. It is obvious.

Theorem 4.2. Let  $\mathfrak{O}: (\mathfrak{N}, \tau, \kappa) (V, \tau', \kappa')$  be a S-map. Then,

- If O is S-continuous, then O is S-generalized (β, ω)-continuous
- (2) If  $\Im$  is S- $\alpha$ -continuous, then  $\Im$  is S-generalized ( $\beta$ ,  $\omega$ )-continuous
- (3) If 
  <sup>o</sup> is S-semi-continuous, then <sup>o</sup> is S-generalized (β, ω)-continuous

Proof. It is obvious.

#### **Conflicts of interest**

The authors approve that no conflict of interest.

#### References

- Molodtsov D. Soft Theory first results. Comput Math Appl 1999;37:19–31.
- [2] Shabir M, Naz M. On soft topological spaces. Comput Math Appl 2011;61:1786–99.
- [3] Kannan K. Soft generalized closed sets in soft topological spaces. J Theor Appl Inf Technol 2012;37:17–20.
- [4] Nasef AA, Parimale M, Jeevith R, EL-Sayed MK. Soft ideal theory and applications. Int J Nonlinear Anal Appl 2022;13: 1–10.
- [5] Nasef AA, Azam AA. α– Completely regular and almost α– Completely regular spaces. Math Probl Eng 2022;467466:1–6.
- [6] Alzagrani S, Nasef AA, Youns N, EL-Maghrabi AI, Badr MS. Soft toplogical approaches via soft γ-open sets. AIHS Math 2022;7:12144-53.
- [7] Maji PK, Biwas R, Roy R. Soft set theory. Comput Math Appl 2003;45:555–62.
- [8] Cagman N, Karats S, Enginoglu S. Soft topology. Comput Math Appl 2011;62:351–8.
- [9] Chen B. Soft semi-open sets and related properties in soft topological spaces. Appl Inf Sci 2013;7:287–94.
- [10] Arokia Rani Î, Talbinaa T. A soft generalized pre closed sets and space. Proc IGMSCA 2014:138–87.
- [11] Arokia Rani I, Lancy AA. Soft gβ closed sets and soft gsβ closed sets in soft topological spaces. Int J Math Arch 2013;4: 17–23.
- [12] Nadhini T, Kalaiselvi A. Soft g closed sets in topological spaces. Int J Innov Sci Eng Technol 2014;3:14595–600.
- [13] Guzel ZE, Yskel S, Tozlu N. On soft generalized preregular closed and open sets in soft topological spaces. Appl Math Sci 2014;8:7875–84.
- [14] Veerakumar MKRS. On Soft g closed sets and g LC functions. Indian J Math 2001;43:231-47.
- [15] Kharaland A, Ahmed B. Mapping on soft classes. New Math Comput 2017;3:471–81.