

12-31-2020

Section: Earth science

## PRELIMINARY ACTIVE TECTONIC ASSESSMENT OF WADI GHOWEIBA CATCHMENT, GULF OF SUEZ RIFT, EGYPT, INTEGRATION OF REMOTE SENSING, TECTONIC GEOMORPHOLOGY, AND GIS TECHNIQUES

Abdelrahman Khalifa

*Department of Geology, Faculty of Science, Al-Azhar University, Cairo, Egypt, akhalifa@azhar.edu.eg*

Follow this and additional works at: <https://absb.researchcommons.org/journal>



Part of the [Life Sciences Commons](#)

---

### How to Cite This Article

Khalifa, Abdelrahman (2020) "PRELIMINARY ACTIVE TECTONIC ASSESSMENT OF WADI GHOWEIBA CATCHMENT, GULF OF SUEZ RIFT, EGYPT, INTEGRATION OF REMOTE SENSING, TECTONIC GEOMORPHOLOGY, AND GIS TECHNIQUES," *Al-Azhar Bulletin of Science*: Vol. 31: Iss. 2, Article 17. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21608/absb.2020.227012>

This Original Article is brought to you for free and open access by Al-Azhar Bulletin of Science. It has been accepted for inclusion in Al-Azhar Bulletin of Science by an authorized editor of Al-Azhar Bulletin of Science. For more information, please contact [kh\\_Mekheimer@azhar.edu.eg](mailto:kh_Mekheimer@azhar.edu.eg).

## **PRELIMINARY ACTIVE TECTONIC ASSESSMENT OF WADI GHOWEIBA CATCHMENT, GULF OF SUEZ RIFT, EGYPT, INTEGRATION OF REMOTE SENSING, TECTONIC GEOMORPHOLOGY, AND GIS TECHNIQUES**

---

**Abdelrahman Khalifa**

*Department of Geology, Faculty of Science, Al-Azhar University, Cairo, Egypt*

\*Corresponding Author: [akhalifa@Azhar.edu.eg](mailto:akhalifa@Azhar.edu.eg)

---

### **ABSTRACT**

The Wadi Ghoweiba catchment located at the northeastern part of the western coast of the Gulf of Suez, Egypt has been examined to evaluate the impact of tectonic activity remarks through a significant analysis of the morphotectonic indexes that were calculated using geographic information systems (GIS) technique. Four morphotectonic indexes, including mountain front sinuosity, valley floor width-to-valley height ratio, rock strength, and stream length gradient index were applied and processed for recognition of tectonic activity evidence. The results computed from these indexes were combined to examine different fracture and/or fault segments of the catchment. The values of the measured morphotectonic indexes were used to evaluate the distribution of the different tectonic signals of the study catchment. The examined catchment mostly reflects low-to- medium tectonic activity signals. From 25 studied segments, only two segments found at the southern Ghoweiba catchment wall record medium-to-high tectonic values which indicate very small significant tectonic activity compared to the whole catchment. Based on these morphotectonic indexes, the southern segments might with respect to the northern segments of the study catchment.


**Keywords:** Tectonic geomorphology; GIS; Seismic hazards; Wadi Ghoweiba; Gulf of Suez

### **1. INTRODUCTION**

Tectonic activity cycles produce deformed landforms which can be examined by quantitative tectonic geomorphology. Numerous laboratory and field works have been applied to discuss how different morphotectonic indexes record signals along active regions, uplifting blocks, and evolving active faults (El-Hamdouni et al., 2008; Markose and Jayappa 2013; Topal et al., 2016; Khalifa et al., 2018 and 2019; Valkanou et al., 2021). Morphotectonic indexes are very helpful in the evaluation of relative active tectonics of tectonically active regions which undergo different rates of tectonic activities (Keller 1986 and Yıldırım 2014). For example, river drainage analysis is a powerful tool in understanding the morphotectonic evolution of different tectonic elements and features such as faults and fractures (Burbank and Anderson 2000 and Khalifa et al., 2019). Active tectonic landforms, faults, and catchments can be evaluated through detailed calculations of morphotectonic indexes such as

mountain front sinuosity ( $S_{mf}$ ), drainage density ( $D_d$ ), valley floor width – to – height ratio ( $V_f$ ), stream length gradient index ( $S_L$ ), and rock strength levels (Silva et al., 2003 and Keller and DeVecchio 2013).

The Wadi Ghoweiba Catchment (WGC), a morphologically distinct catchment that drains toward the Gulf of Suez (Figure 1). The studied catchment covers the region between the northern scarp of the northern Galala (southern catchment wall) (Hassan 2008 and Abdeen 2009) and Gabal Akheider (northern catchment wall). It occupies a region about 1918 km<sup>2</sup> which is crossed by a number of roads including Maddi – Sokhna and El – Saf roads. It is bounded by longitudes 31° 45' and 32° 30' E, and latitudes 29° 27' and 29° 50' N along the western coast of the Gulf of Suez (Figure 1). The region where the catchment is located includes huge industrial and construction projects that aiming for the development of the Ain Sokhna port.



**Figure 1.** Location map of the study catchment. Inset map and yellow box indicate location of the study region.


The Wadi Ghoweiba region is characterized by two major tectonic elements; the Syrian arc systems and Gulf of Suez rift (Abdeen et al., 2009). It consists of many lithological units including shale, limestone, gravel, dark colored sandstone, and basalt dikes that are ranging in age from Upper Carboniferous to Quaternary. This work aims to apply the remote sensing and GIS techniques in order to evaluate the relative tectonic activity and seismic hazards of the study catchment.

## 2. GEOLOGY AND STRUCTURE

The geological units of the studied WGC region were examined and mapped within several geological and remote sensed mapping projects (e.g. Sadek 1926; EGSM 1981; Klitzsch et al., 1987; Abd-Allah 1993; Hassan et al., and Abdeen et al., 2009). They recorded 13 different lithological units and formation that are distributed over the whole studied region (Figure 2). The oldest unit in the study region is the Aheimer Formation which was traced as a narrow strip in the most southeastern part of the area. The Aheimer Formation is composed of shale, dark colored sandstone with limestone (Figure 2). The Aheimer Formation was followed by undifferentiated Early to Middle Jurassic marine deposits. The Cretaceous lithological units are represented by the Late Jurassic – Early Cretaceous, Malha Formation

and undifferentiated Late Cretaceous deposits. Abd-Allah (1993) recorded Malha Formation thickness as 70m (Abdeen et al., 2009). Early Eocene is represented by the Thebes Formation which contains well-bedded shelf limestones and other shallow water carbonate deposits. The Mokattam Group are the major units of the study region. They consist of the Observatory Formation (dense, medium-bedded limestone with minor local chert), Giuish Formation, and Gabal Hof Formation (Figure 2). The Late Eocene Maddi Formation is located in the middle and northern parts of the study area and consists of shallow marine shale and limestone. Oligocene is recorded with patchy Gabal El-Ahmar Formation in the central part of the study region which contains vividly colored sand, quartzite, and gravels. Hagul Formation lies in the central and northeastern most parts of the study area and consists of fluvial sand and gravel. The younger units of the study region are Pliocene deposits and Quaternary wadi deposits (Figure 2).

Several studies stated that the Ghoweiba catchment is a major structural plain that is highly deformed by many sets of normal faults (e.g. Abd-Allah 1993; Abdeen et al., 2009). Generally, the mapped fractures or faults in the study region can be distinguished into three major sets: Suez Gulf-orthogonal trend (E-W),



**Figure 2.** Geological map of the present study region, modified after Klitzsch and Polman (1987).

Suez Gulf-Parallel trend (NW), and Suez Gulf-oblique trend (Sultan et al., 2017). The Suez Gulf-parallel and orthogonal faults are well traced along the northern and southern walls of the Ghoweiba catchment (Hammed and Abdel Khalek 2015).

### 3. MATERIALS AND METHODS

Digital elevation model (30-m resolution) data (DEM) is extracted and prepared for the various work examinations. The ArcGIS software was utilized for all topographic analyses and calculations. The drainage systems extracted from the DEM through hydrological tool in ArcGIS were used to obtain the morphotectonic indexes values along the entire catchment. In total 25 fracture segments were examined according to the different trends of the fracture or/and faults. Mountain front sinuosity index were applied for each fracture segment of the study catchment.

#### 3-1- Mountain-front sinuosity ( $S_{mf}$ )

The morphometric mountain front sinuosity index ( $S_{mf}$ ) is computed as,

$$S_{mf} = L_{mf} / L_s,$$

where  $L_{mf}$  represents the length of the mountain gorge front and  $L_s$  reflects the mountain straight-line length (Bull and McFadden 1977). Keller (1986) and Bull and McFadden (1977) stated that the  $S_{mf}$  values less than 1.4 are tectonically active, while values that are greater than 3 are associated with inactive fronts. The  $S_{mf}$  values were extracted from 13 front segments.

#### 3-2- Valley width-to-height ratio ( $V_f$ )

The  $V_f$  index is used in reading the rate of incision over the uplifted regions. (Keller and Printer, 2002; Silva et al., 2003) proposed  $V_f$ , as,

$$V_f = 2V_{fw} / [(E_{ld} - E_{sc}) + (E_{rd} - E_{sc})]$$

where  $V_{fw}$  measures the valley floor width,  $E_{ld}$  and  $E_{rd}$  give values of the left and right valley divides, respectively, and  $E_{sc}$  represents the valley floor elevation. Azor et al., (2000) proposed that low  $V_f$  values suggest regions of high tectonic activity, whereas high  $V_f$  values characterized regions with low tectonic signals.

### 3-3- Rock strength ( $R_s$ )

Selby (1980) examined and discussed the relationship between rock hardness and strength that is related to the constituent material and cement playing a scientific role in the resistance to erosion processes. Rock strength is categorized into three classes, low (sand, marl, alluvium, conglomerate, and sandstone), medium (sandy limestone), and high (basalt, gneiss, schist, and quartzite).

### 3-4- Stream-length gradient index ( $S_L$ )

The stream-length gradient index ( $S_L$ ) was proposed by Hack (1973) in order to evaluate the effect of rock resistance in channels as a case study of south United States. The degree of tectonic activities can be detected using  $S_L$  by tracing abrupt changes of river streams gradients along the study zone (Hack, 1973; Keller and Pinter, 2002).

drainage divide and the stream midpoint reach which the  $S_L$  is calculated.  $S_L$  was calculated every 100 m of the streams.

## 4. RESULTS

The 25 fracture and or/fault segments (FS), recorded  $S_{mf}$  values which range from 1.5 into 2.9 (Table 1; Figure 3). The southern Ghoweiba catchment wall comprises fracture and/or faults from 1 to 10, while the rest of the segments were analyzed along the northern Ghoweiba catchment wall. The highest  $S_{mf}$  values were recorded for FS-3, FS-6 and FS-21, while the lowest values were calculated for FS-8 and FS-25. The  $S_{mf}$  calculations indicate that most of the fracture and/or fault segments give low tectonic activity signals. The  $V_f$  index was calculated for 75 spots and provides values from 0.3 into 1.9 (Table 1; figure 4). The highest mean  $V_f$  index values were recorded for FSs 6, 11, 13, 21, and 23, while the lowest values are


Southern Ghoweiba catchment wall			Northern Ghoweiba catchment wall		
Fracture and/or fault segments	$S_{mf}$	Mean $V_f$	Fracture and/or fault segments	$S_{mf}$	Mean $V_f$
FS-1	1.7	1.5	FS-11	2.7	1.9
FS-2	2.3	1.8	FS-12	2.6	2
FS-3	2.8	2	FS-13	2.1	1.9
FS-4	2.9	1.1	FS-14	1.9	0.7
FS-5	2.4	1.3	FS-15	2.7	0.3
FS-6	2.8	1.9	FS-16	2.1	1.3
FS-7	2.55	1.3	FS-17	1.8	1.3
FS-8	1.5	0.7	FS-18	1.8	1.1
FS-9	1.65	1.2	FS-19	2.3	1.7
FS-10	2.45	0.8	FS-20	1.8	1.1
<b>Table 1:</b> $S_{mf}$ and $V_f$ parameters of the study Fracture and/or fault segments.			FS-21	2.8	1.9
			FS-22	1.9	1.3
			FS-23	2.1	1.9
			FS-24	2.3	1.5
			FS-25	1.5	1.4

The  $S_L$  index is calculated as,


$$S_L = (\Delta H / \Delta L) * L,$$

Where  $\Delta H / \Delta L$  indicates stream slopes and L reflects the length of the stream between the

associated with FS-15. The different  $S_{mf}$  values point to an mild similarity between mean  $V_f$  and The  $S_{mf}$  values over the whole catchment zone.




**Figure 3.** Shaded elevation images show fracture and /or fault segments of the Wadi Ghoweiba catchment.



**Figure 4.** Shaded elevation images show  $V_f$  locations of the Wadi Ghoweiba catchment.

The geological map of the WGC is characterized by different types of rock units. The units of the study region include sandstone, shale and limestone, vividly colored sands, quartzite, and gravel, and Quaternary wadi deposits (Figure 2). The lithological distribution indicates that the study catchment has just one rock strength type. According to Selby (1980),

all WGC rock types are represented by low level of rock strength. Depending on  $S_L$  index calculations,  $S_L$  values vary from  $>50$  to 150 along most streams and channels throughout the studied catchment (Figure 5). Generally,  $S_L$  values are gradually increase toward the mountain-fronts of the segments. The distribution of  $S_L$  values shows anomalous spots



**Figure 5.**  $S_L$  index along the fracture and/or segments of the Wadi Ghoweiba catchment.

in some locations of the study catchment, because some high values were recorded on some low strength rock types (Figure 5).

## 5. Discussion and conclusion

To discuss relative tectonic activity of the major fracture segments, the results of all calculated geomorphic indexes were synthesized. The  $S_{mf}$  and mean  $V_f$  values are generally high along FSs 2, 3, 6, 11, 12, 13, 21, and 23, respectively with  $S_{mf}$  ranges between 2.1 and 2.9, and  $V_f$  from 1.8 to 2. The lowest values of the  $S_{mf}$  and  $V_f$  indexes were only recorded along FS-8 which indicates high to medium activity signal is examined along southern WGC wall. Focusing on the  $S_{mf}$  values, southern WGC scarp segments indicate high to medium tectonic activity levels that gradually decrease from east to west except along FSs 8 and 9. Generally, the  $S_{mf}$  and mean  $V_f$  are discussing medium to slightly high tectonic activity signals along the southern WGC scarp. On the other hand, the  $S_{mf}$  and mean  $V_f$  values reflect low to slightly medium activities along the northern WGC wall. Many studies were conducted along active landforms using  $S_{mf}$  and  $V_f$  values (e.g. Yildırım 2014 and Khalifa et al., 2018) and they indicated a consistency between

the  $S_{mf}$  values and mean  $V_f$  values of the majority studied segments. Our study reveals some consistencies and inconsistencies according to the predominant low activity signals that cover the most segments of the studied catchment. Generally, the strengths of rocks partly range from low to very low with changes in  $S_L$  values. The only high strength remark is recorded by narrow strips of basalt dikes and sills along the western part of the WGC region. Normally, high  $S_L$  values are associated with high strength rocks, while the low rock strengths are characterized by low  $S_L$  values. The study examined the rock strength levels and it was found that nearly all rocks indicate a low strength level. For that reason, it can be inferred that the impact of the lithology is negligible and tectonic signals are predominant.

Morphotectonic indexes, including  $S_{mf}$ ,  $V_f$ ,  $R_s$ , and  $S_L$  are used for an in-depth investigation of tectonic geomorphology evolution and tectonic levels of the WGC. Mostly,  $S_{mf}$  and  $V_f$  values indicate a medium to low level of tectonic activity. The results from  $S_L$  index are in agreement with values of  $S_{mf}$  and mean  $V_f$ . This work indicates that the northern WGC wall mostly reflects low tectonic activity signals,

while the southern wall imposes medium activities with some segments. The tectonic activity remarks gradually increase from north towards south and slightly from east to west. In this study, cumulated results can confirm that the seismic signals are slightly poor and there are no significant remarks for any future seismic hazards. This work offers that geomorphic analysis of the whole region of a major structure WGC provides very valuable insights into the region's tectonic evolution.

## REFERENCES

- [1] Abd-Allah A M A. Structural geology of the area between El-Galala El-Bahariya and Gebel Okheider, Ph.D. Thesis, Faculty of Science, Ain Shams University, Cairo, Egypt, (1993):199 p.
- [2] Abdeen M M, EL-Kazzaz Y A, Attia G M, Yehia M A, Hassan S M. Mapping geological structures in Wadi Ghoweiba area, northwest Gulf of Suez, Egypt, using aster-spot data fusion and Aster Dem. Egyptian Journal of Remote Sensing & Space Science, (2009): 12: 101 – 126.
- [3] Azor A, Keller E A, Yeats R S. Geomorphic indicators of active fold growth: South Mountain-Oak Ridge anticline, Ventura basin, southern California. Geol Soc Am Bull, (2002): 114: 745-753
- [4] Bull W B, McFadden LD. Tectonic geomorphology north and south of the Garlock fault, California. In: Doehering O, editor. Geomorphology in Arid Regions. The 8th Annual Geomorphology Symposium Proceedings. Binghamton, NY, USA: State University of New York, (1977): 115-138.
- [5] Burbank D W, Anderson R S. Tectonic geomorphology. Blackwell, Oxford, (2000): 274 p.
- [6] EGSM, 1981. Geological Map of Egypt at scale of 1: 2000,000, Egyptian Geological Survey and Mining Authority, Abbasiya, Cairo, Egypt, (1981).
- [7] El Hamdouni R, Irigaray C, Fernandez T, Chacón J, Keller E A. Assessment of relative active tectonics, southwest border of Sierra Nevada (southern Spain). Geomorphology, (2008): 96: 150-173.
- [8] Hack J T. Stream profile analysis and stream-gradient index. J Res US Geol Surv, (1973): 1: 421-429.
- [9] Hamed M S, Abdel Khalek A. 3D digital geological mapping and lithological characterization of the northwestern margin of the Gulf of Suez, Egypt by integration of remotely sensing data. 15th international Multidisciplinary Scientific GeoConference (SGEM 2015), 18 – 24 June 2015, Albena, Bulgaria, Book 1, Volume 1
- [10] Hassan S M, Abdeen M M, EL-Kazzaz Y A, Yehia M A, Attia G M. Characterization of Oligocene sands and gravels, Wadi Ghoweiba northwest Gulf of Suez, Egypt using Spectral signature and Principal component analysis of Terra Aster images. Egyptian Journal of Remote Sensing & Space Science, (2008): 11: 73 – 92.
- [11] Khalifa A, Ziyadin Ç, Owen L, Şinasi K. Morphotectonic analysis of the East Anatolian fault, Turkey. Turkish Journal of Earth Sciences, (2018): 27: 110 – 126.
- [12] Khalifa A, Ziyadin Ç, Owen L, Şinasi K. Evaluation of the Relative Tectonic Activity of the Adiyaman fault within the Arabian-Anatolian plate boundary (eastern Turkey), (2019): 17.6: 1 – 17.
- [13] Keller E A. Investigation of active tectonics: use of surficial earth processes. In: Wallace RE (ed) Active Tectonics, Studies in Geophysics. National Academy Press, Washington, DC, (1986):136–147.
- [14] Keller EA, Pinter N, editors (2002). Active Tectonics: Earthquakes, Uplift and Landscapes. 2nd ed. Upper Saddle River, NJ, USA: Prentice Hall, (2002).
- [15] Keller E A, DeVecchio D E. Tectonic geomorphology of active folding and development of transverse drainage. In: Shroder J, Owen LA, editors. Treatise on Geomorphology. 5th ed. San Diego, CA, USA: Academic Press, (2013): 129-147.
- [16] Klitzsch E, List F K, Polman G. Geological map of Egypt at a scale of 1: 500,000, prepared for CONOCO Coral Inc. and EGPC, Cairo, Egypt, sheet NH 36 SW Beni Suef, Institute für Angewandte Geologie, Berlin, Germany, (1987).
- [17] Markose V J, Jayappa K S. A quantitative analysis of relative tectonic activity classes of Kali river basin, southwest coast of India. Arabian journal of Geoscience, (2013) 6: 4729 – 4742.
- [18] Sadek, M. The geography and geology of the district between Gebel Ataqa and El-Galala El-Bahariya (Gulf of Suez). Geol. Surv. Egypt, (1926): Paper No. 40, 120 p



- [19] Selby M J. A rock strength classification for geomorphic purposes: with tests from Antarctica and New Zealand. *Z Geomorphol*, (1980): 24: 31-51.
- [20] Silva P G, Goy J L, Zazo C, Bardaji T. Fault generated mountain fronts in Southeast Spain: geomorphologic assessment of tectonic and earthquake activity. *Geomorphology*, (2013): 50:203–226.
- [21] Sultan S A, Essa K S, Khalil M H, El-Nahry A H, Galal A N. Evaluation of groundwater potentiality survey in south Ataqa-northwestern part of Gulf of Suez by using resistivity data and site-selection modeling. *NRIAG Journal of Astronomy and Geophysics* 6, (2017) 230–243.
- [22] Topal S, Keller E, Bufe A, Koçyiğit A. Tectonic geomorphology of a large normal fault: Akşehir fault, SW Turkey. *Geomorphology*, (2016): 259: 55-69.
- [23] Valkanou K, Karymbalis E, Papanastassiou D., Soldati M, Chalkias C, Gaki-Papanastassiou K. Assessment of Neotectonic Landscape Deformation in Evia Island, Greece, Using GIS-Based Multi-Criteria Analysis. *International journal of Geo-Information*, (2021): 10,118
- [24] Yıldırım C. Relative tectonic activity assessment of the Tuz Gölü Fault Zone; Central Anatolia, Turkey. *Tectonophysics*, (2014): 630: 183-192.

**التقييم الأولي للنشاط التكتوني لوادي غوبية, خليج السويس, مصر, دراسة تكاملية تشمل تقنيات الإستشعار عن بعد والجيومورفولوجيا التكتونية ونظم المعلومات الجغرافية**

**عبدالرحمن خليفة حسين**

قسم الجيولوجيا – كلية العلوم – جامعة الأزهر

**الملخص العربي:**

تناولت هذه الدراسة فحص مورفوتكتوني لمستجمعات مياه وادي غوبية والذي يقع في الجزء الشمالي الشرقي من الساحل الغربي لخليج السويس - مصر. وكان الهدف من هذه الدراسة هو تقييم تأثير ملاحظات النشاط التكتوني من خلال تحليل المؤشرات الجيومورفولوجية السطحية والتي تم حسابها باستخدام تقنيتي الإستشعار عن بعد ونظم المعلومات الجغرافية. في هذه الدراسة تم تطبيق أربعة مؤشرات مورفوتكتونية ، والتي تشمل قياس التعرجات الأمامية للجبال ، ونسبة عرض أرض الوادي إلى ارتفاع الوادي ، ودرجة مقاومة الصخور، ومؤشر التدرج لطول التيار للتعرف على أدلة النشاط التكتوني. وفي هذه الدراسة تم دمج النتائج المحسوبة من القياسات المختلفة لفحص اجزاء النطاقات المشوهة المختلفة والتي تتوزع في الوادي المدروس. ومن ثم تم استخدام قيم المؤشرات المقاسة لتقييم توزيع الإشارات التكتونية المختلفة لمستجمعات الدراسة. وأظهرت نتائج تسجيل مستجمعات المياه التي تم فحصها إشارات النشاط التكتوني المنخفض إلى المتوسط. ومن 25 مقطعاً مدروساً ، تم تسجيل نطاقين فقط في جدار مستجمعات وادي غوبية الجنوبي والذان يسجلان قيمًا تكتونية تتراوح من متوسطة إلى عالية والتي تشير إلى نشاط تكتوني صغير جدًا مقارنة بباقي المنطقة المدروسة. بناءً على هذه المؤشرات المورفوتكتونية ، قد تعطي الأجزاء الجنوبية إشارات زلزالية طفيفة بالمقارنة بالأجزاء الشمالية من مستجمعات مياه الوادي المدروس.