

12-1-2013

Section: Chemistry

## PHYSICO-CHEMICAL CHARACTERISTICS AND NATURAL RADIOACTIVITY OF ISMAILIA CANAL WATER, RIVER NILE, EGYPT.

S. ABDEL RAZEQ

*Analytical Chemistry Department , Faculty of Pharmacy, Al-Azhar University, Cairo, Egypt.*

S. SOLIMAN

*Chemistry Department, Faculty of Science, Al -Azhar University, Cairo, Egypt.*

W. BAKR

*Radiation Chemistry Department, National Center for Nuclear Safety and Radiation Control, Cairo, Egypt.*

I. ABDEL GHAFAR

*Analytical Chemistry Department , Faculty of Pharmacy, Al-Azhar University, Cairo, Egypt.*

Follow this and additional works at: <https://absb.researchcommons.org/journal>

 Part of the [Life Sciences Commons](#)

---

### How to Cite This Article

ABDEL RAZEQ, S.; SOLIMAN, S.; BAKR, W.; and ABDEL GHAFAR, I. (2013) "PHYSICO-CHEMICAL CHARACTERISTICS AND NATURAL RADIOACTIVITY OF ISMAILIA CANAL WATER, RIVER NILE, EGYPT.," *Al-Azhar Bulletin of Science*: Vol. 24: Iss. 2, Article 1.

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21608/absb.2013.6400>

This Original Article is brought to you for free and open access by Al-Azhar Bulletin of Science. It has been accepted for inclusion in Al-Azhar Bulletin of Science by an authorized editor of Al-Azhar Bulletin of Science. For more information, please contact [kh\\_Mekheimer@azhar.edu.eg](mailto:kh_Mekheimer@azhar.edu.eg).

---

## PHYSICO-CHEMICAL CHARACTERISTICS AND NATURAL RADIOACTIVITY OF ISMAILIA CANAL WATER, RIVER NILE, EGYPT.

---

ABDEL RAZEQ S.A.<sup>1</sup>, SOLIMAN S.A.<sup>2</sup>, BAKR W.<sup>3</sup>, ABDEL GHAFAR I<sup>4</sup>.

1,4. *Analytical Chemistry Department, Faculty of Pharmacy, Al-Azhar University, Cairo, Egypt.*

2. *Chemistry Department, Faculty of Science, Al -Azhar University, Cairo, Egypt.*

3. *Radiation Chemistry Department, National Center for Nuclear Safety and Radiation Control, Cairo, Egypt.*

---

### Abstract

Ismailia Canal is considered as one of the most important drinking and irrigation water source in Egypt. Twenty four water samples were collected during four successive seasons from summer 2010 to spring 2011 along the area extending from El Mazallat to Anshas . Physical parameters temperature, E.C and TDS and chemical parameters pH ,alkalinity, TH, DO, COD, BOD, NH<sub>3</sub>, NO<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup>, NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>, PO<sub>4</sub><sup>-3</sup>, Cl<sup>-</sup>, F<sup>-</sup>, Br<sup>-</sup>, SO<sub>4</sub><sup>-2</sup>, Ca<sup>2+</sup>, Mg<sup>2+</sup>, Na<sup>+</sup>, K<sup>+</sup>, Fe<sup>+3</sup>, Cd<sup>+2</sup>, Zn<sup>+2</sup>, Cu<sup>+2</sup>, Pb<sup>+2</sup>, and Al<sup>+3</sup> were analyzed to identify water quality of Ismailia Canal. The results of these analyses, when compared with Egyptian and international standards were found to be within the permissible limits except COD, NH<sub>3</sub>, Fe<sup>+3</sup>, Cd<sup>+2</sup>, Pb<sup>+2</sup>, and Al<sup>+3</sup> at certain sites and/ or certain seasons. Additionally, the naturally occurring radioactive materials (<sup>238</sup>U, <sup>232</sup>Th and <sup>40</sup>K) were analyzed where <sup>238</sup>U and <sup>232</sup>Th were found to exceed EPA limit. Finally water quality index (WQI) was calculated to be 80.6 and 84.3 according to Egyptian higher committee of water and WHO guidelines, respectively; this indicates that the water quality of Ismailia Canal was good.

### 1.Introduction

Rivers play a major role in assimilating or carrying industrial, municipal waste water and agricultural run-off which are major sources of pollution that affecting the water quality (Nair *et al*)<sup>1</sup>.

Ismailia Canal is considered as one of the largest fresh water canals branched from the River Nile. It receives a lot of industrial waste water which leading to undesirable effects on its water quality (Geriesh *et al*<sup>2</sup>., Abdo *et al*<sup>3</sup>., and Abd El-Hady and Hussian<sup>4</sup>).

Stahl and Ramadan,<sup>5</sup> studied inorganic chemical water quality of Ismailia Canal. Fe, Mn and Zn were found in low concentrations. The amounts of dissolved salts were still below the “German Trinkwasserverordnung.” A higher total organic carbon level indicated the presence of organic contaminants. While the natural radioactivity level was in the normal range.

Abdo *et al.*,<sup>3</sup> determined physical and chemical characteristics of Ismailia Canal water and concluded that the main pollution sources of the canal were the domestic and effluents of police camp and petroleum companies.

The quality of water is now the concern of experts in all countries. The water quality of any source depends on its location and environmental protection. Thus, this study was devoted to assess the quality and nature of Ismailia Canal water through physical and chemical analyses together with natural radioactivity.

## 2. Experimental

### 2.1. Sampling

Water samples were collected seasonally from Ismailia Canal during the period from summer 2010 to spring 2011. Six sites were selected along the canal extending from El Mazallat square to Anshas, Table(1) and Figure (1).

Water samples were collected at 60cm depth each of 2 L in polyethylene containers in an ice-box. Samples for heavy metals analysis were preserved with concentrated nitric acid. Samples for determination of dissolved oxygen (DO) and biochemical oxygen demand (BOD) were collected in glass stoppered oxygen bottles. For natural radioactivity measurement, one liter filtered water samples were transferred into one liter Marinillie beaker, carefully sealed and stored for 4 weeks (Mollahet *al.*,<sup>6</sup>).

All selected parameters were measured according to APHA<sup>7</sup>, (2005) except where noted. Both electrical conductivity (E.C) and total dissolved solids (TDS) were determined by conductivity meter (Con 510, USA). pH values were measured using pH meter (Cyber scan 2100, Singapore). Total alkalinity was estimated by titration with standard 0.02N H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> using phenolphthalein and bromo cresol green as indicators. Total hardness (TH) was determined by EDTA titration method. DO measurement was done by Winkler method and ion selective electrode (Jenway 9500, UK), COD by dichromate oxidation method and BOD by 5-day incubation method. Concentration levels of NH<sub>3</sub>, NO<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup>, NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> and PO<sub>4</sub><sup>3-</sup> were determined using colorimetric methods: phenate, azo-dye, reduction into nitrite followed by azo-dye formation and stannous chloride methods, respectively using Shimadzu, UV-Vis 1601PC spectrophotometer, Japan. Anions (F<sup>-</sup>, Cl<sup>-</sup>, Br<sup>-</sup> and SO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup>) and cations (Ca<sup>2+</sup>, Mg<sup>2+</sup>, Na<sup>+</sup> and K<sup>+</sup>) were measured by ion chromatography (Dionex ICS-3000, USA). Al and heavy metals (Pb, Cu, Cd, Zn) by AAS. Iron was measured by IC (Dionex,<sup>8</sup>) and through colorimetric method. Natural radioactivity determination was carried out by  $\gamma$ - ray spectrometer with hyper pure germanium detector, Canberra type .

### 3. Results and Discussion:

Ismailia Canal has its inlet from the Nile at north of Cairo at El-Mazalet region and runs down town of Ismailia where it bifurcates to Port Said and Suez.

It transport about five million cubic meters per day for drinking, industrial and irrigation purposes (Abdo *et al.*,<sup>3</sup> and Abdo and El-Nasharty<sup>9</sup>).

In this study six sites were selected for water analysis along the first 27 Km of the canal where the largest industrial zone was located, Table (1) and Figure (1).

The physical-chemical parameters are considered as the most important principles in the detection of the quality of water. Variations of the physico-chemical characteristics of Ismailia Canal water during summer, autumn, winter and spring were presented in Tables (2-6) and these results were compared with EHCW<sup>10</sup>, Egyptian Law<sup>11</sup> (48/1982), WHO<sup>12</sup>, EPA<sup>13</sup> and law of Council of the European Union<sup>14</sup>(EU), Table (7)

#### 3.1. Physical parameters

Water temperature (15.0-28°C) showed clear seasonal trends with slight variations between different sites due to different sampling times, climatic conditions and humidity.

TDS and E.C ranges were 240-135 mg/L and 480-270 µS/cm, respectively. Decay and degradation of most microorganism species in the lower water level during drought period (Abdo<sup>15</sup>, and Abdo and El-Nasharty<sup>9</sup>) led to obvious increase in TDS values in winter which was reflected in results of E.C.

#### 3.2. Chemical parameters

##### 3.2.1. pH, Alkalinity and Total Hardness

pH of water of Ismailia Canal was slightly alkaline (7.0-8.2) with slight increase in spring nearly in all investigated sites which may be ascribed to the dense of vegetation and phytoplankton, followed by increased photosynthetic activity and consumption of CO<sub>2</sub> (Abdel-Satar<sup>16</sup> and Sabae<sup>17</sup>).

Alkalinity ranged between 170 and 190 mg/L CaCO<sub>3</sub> and Ismailia Canal water was found to be bicarbonate water stream and this agreed with the results reported by Abdel-Malik *et al.*<sup>18</sup>.

Hardness of Ismailia Canal water ranged between 120 and 152 mg/L CaCO<sub>3</sub>; thus it can be considered as slightly hard according to EPA<sup>13</sup>.

### 3.2.2 DO, COD and BOD

DO showed maximum average value in winter (9.53 mg/L) and minimum in summer (5.9 mg/L); the latter low value may be due to the lower capacity of water to hold oxygen at higher temperature (Murugesan and Rajakumari<sup>19</sup> and Jayakumar *et al.*,<sup>20</sup>). Ismailia Canal water exhibited COD values in the range of 10.4 - 30 mg/L with high fluctuations at six sites which mostly exceeded the limits of Egyptian Law<sup>11</sup>(Less than 15mg/L) which indicated heavy load of pollution along the canal. The observed values of BOD ranged from 0.0 to 3.7 mg/L with highest average value in winter as a result of the presence of high amount of organic matter especially during drought period, resulting in the uptake of oxygen in the oxidative breakdown of them (Abdel-Razak *et al*)<sup>21</sup>.

### 3.2.3. Nutrients

Nitrogen (in the form of  $\text{NH}_3$ ,  $\text{NO}_2^-$  and  $\text{NO}_3^-$ ) and phosphorus are the nutrients most commonly identified as pollutants (Igbinsosa and Okoh)<sup>23</sup>.  $\text{NH}_3$  (0.148 mg/L) contents ranged from 0.0 to 0.664 mg/L with maximum average value in summer which may be due to the fact that the high temperature accelerates the reduction rate of nitrate into ammonia (Abdo *et al*)<sup>3</sup>. Again the maximum values of  $\text{NH}_3$  at site II might be a result of effluent from iron and steel mills (CCME)<sup>24</sup>.

The range of  $\text{NO}_2^-$  in Ismailia Canal water was 0 - 58.1  $\mu\text{g/L}$  with relatively high average value in autumn (44.11  $\mu\text{g/L}$ ) and winter (46.08  $\mu\text{g/L}$ ) which are mainly attributed to the oxidation of existing ammonia, yielding  $\text{NO}_2^-$ , as intermediate state especially in abundant oxygen during winter (Wetzel<sup>25</sup> and Abdo<sup>26</sup>). There is also an increase of  $\text{NO}_2^-$  concentration at site II which may be ascribed to oxidation of part of ammonia released as effluent from iron and steel mills.

The concentration of  $\text{NO}_3^-$  varied from 0 to 2.5 mg/L with decreased concentration in spring for most sites which might be ascribed to the uptake of  $\text{NO}_3^-$  by natural phytoplankton and its reduction by denitrifying bacteria (Sabae and Abdel-Satar<sup>27</sup> and Abdel-Satar<sup>16</sup>).

$\text{PO}_4^{3-}$  is present in natural water as soluble phosphates and organic phosphates. Soluble  $\text{PO}_4^{3-}$  in Ismailia Canal water was found to be in the range of 0 to 0.821 mg/L. As illustrated in Table (2-6), high concentration of  $\text{PO}_4^{3-}$  was clear at site V in the four seasons which may be due to presence of the discharge point of the Abu Za'baal phosphate fertilizer factory at this site.

### 3.2.4. Anions

Cl<sup>-</sup>, F<sup>-</sup>, Br<sup>-</sup> and SO<sub>4</sub><sup>-2</sup> levels in Ismailia Canal water were 12.2 - 40.4, 0.81 - 1.06, 0 - 0.60 and 16.6 - 39.7 mg/L, respectively. Cl<sup>-</sup> reached a maximum concentration of about 41.0 mg/L in winter as a result of low levels of water during draught period. F<sup>-</sup> showed high values at sites V and VI due to presence of phosphate fertilizer factory where fluorapatite (fluorinated calcium phosphate rock) was used as the primary source of phosphate fertilizer (Haamer)<sup>28</sup>. However, all values were within international limits but exceeded the limits of EHCW<sup>10</sup>. Br<sup>-</sup> showed slight fluctuations between different seasons and sites. Whereas the relative increase in SO<sub>4</sub><sup>-2</sup> concentrations during winter may be due to death and decomposition of aquatic microorganisms with a consequent oxidation of the liberated sulfur into sulfate in presence of high DO in this season (Abdo et al)<sup>3</sup>.

### 3.2.5. Cations

All cations (Ca<sup>2+</sup>, Mg<sup>2+</sup>, Na<sup>+</sup> and K<sup>+</sup>) showed small variations in their levels at the six sites of Ismailia Canal over 4 seasons. They varied in the range of 23.8 - 31.6, 16.2 - 10.6, 23.1 - 34.4 and 3.89 - 5.9 mg/L, respectively.

### 3.2.6. Heavy metals and aluminium

Ismailia Canal water samples were analyzed for heavy metals (Fe, Cd, Cu, Zn and Pb) and Al. Iron contents ranged between 0 and 0.41 mg/L. Some Fe concentrations were exceeded EPA<sup>13</sup> (0.2 mg/L) and EU<sup>14</sup> (0.3 mg/L) especially at site VI (Anshas, near the fertilizer factory) due to presence of iron as impurities in phosphate rock used in manufacture of phosphate fertilizer (Arlow)<sup>29</sup>.

Cd range was 1.0 - 12.4 µg/L with lowest values in spring which might be attributed to sorption of metals on suspended particles where removal as fine colloidal occur at high pH in this season (Toufeek)<sup>30</sup>. Some values of Cd were found to be higher than guideline values approved by EHCW<sup>10</sup>, EPA<sup>13</sup>, EU<sup>14</sup> (5 µg/L) and WHO<sup>12</sup> (3 µg/L) which may be related to the presence of steel factory at site II of the canal.

Undetectable Cu, Zn and Pb levels were mostly at all investigated sites in the four seasons except for Pb which exceeded guidelines of EHCW<sup>10</sup> (50 µg/L), WHO<sup>12</sup> (10 µg/L), EPA<sup>13</sup> (10 µg/L) and EU<sup>14</sup> (15 µg/L) during winter due to the drop of water levels.

Al values varied in range of 0 - 1.383 mg/L. Its values were mostly higher than permissible values of (0.2 mg/L) of EHCW<sup>10</sup>, WHO<sup>12</sup>, EPA<sup>13</sup> and EU<sup>14</sup>. This may

be ascribed to domestic wastes along canal. It is worthy to note that the highest values were especially at sites V and VI as Al is one of the impurities in phosphate rock used in fertilizer manufacture (Arlow,) <sup>29</sup>.

### 3.3. Naturally occurring radioactive materials

<sup>238</sup>U, <sup>232</sup>Th and <sup>40</sup>K are three long-lived naturally occurring radionuclides present in the earth crust. The activity concentration levels were found to range between lower than detection limit (DL) and 103.84, 6.97 and 3.65  $\beta$ q/L for the three nucleoids, respectively. The lowest values were found in samples collected from the entrance of the canal for the three determined isotops. <sup>238</sup>U showed increase in samples collected from the front of petroleum company where oil and gas extraction and processing operation sometimes accumulate naturally occurring radioactive materials (Smith,) <sup>31</sup>. There was also increase of <sup>232</sup>Th in samples collected from the front of Nuclear Research Centre which was very near to Abu Za'bal fertilizer factory and 40 K in samples from the front of the same factory due to that the effluents of this factory were probably the main origin of natural radioactivity in this area (Stahl and Ramadan) <sup>5</sup>.

<sup>238</sup>U was found to exceed the guideline value approved by EPA <sup>13</sup> (0.372  $\beta$ q/L). <sup>232</sup>Th was also exceeded the guideline of EPA <sup>13</sup> (1  $\beta$ q/L) which may be a result of human activities caused by agriculture drainage or industrial effluent.

### 3.4. Water Quality Index

Water Quality Index (WQI) is an arithmetic tool used to transform large quantities of water quality data into a single cumulatively derived number (Kalra *et al.*) <sup>32</sup> It is used to assess water quality relative to the standard for domestic use and to provide insight into the degree to which water quality is affected by human activity (Abd-El-Razak *et al.*) <sup>21</sup>.

The Canadian WQI (CCME) <sup>33</sup> uses simple calculation and hence it was used in this study to evaluate water of Ismailia Canal. WQI of Ismailia Canal water was calculated to be 80.6 and 84.3 (according to Egyptian and WHO guidelines) indicating that water quality could be considered as good.

### Conclusion

The increased values of some chemical parameters of water of Ismailia Canal at certain sites especially COD, NH<sub>3</sub>, Fe, Cd, Pb, and Al represented sources of pollution of the canal. This pollution was due to effluents of petroleum, steel and fertilizer factories as well as domestic wastes. Therefore, treatment of waste water effluents become a must before drainage into the canal.

**Table (1): Sampling Sites of Ismailia Canal.**

Site No	Site location	Distance from entrance of the canal	GPS data
I	Entrance of Ismailia Canal	0 Km	N: 30 ° 6 ' 5,12 " E: 31 ° 14 ' 7,34"
II	In front of Iron and Steel factory	3.66 Km	N: 30 ° 6 ' 8,18 " E: 31 ° 16 ' 9,62"
III	In front of Starch and Glucose factory	5.71 Km	N: 30 ° 8 ' 071 " E: 31 ° 17 ' 416 "
IV	In front of Petroleum company	6.0 Km	N: 30 ° 8 ' 601 " E: 31 ° 17 ' 628 "
V	In front of Abu Za'baal company for Fertilizer and Chemical Products.	22.96 Km	N: 30 ° 16 ' 132 " E: 31 ° 22 ' 107 "
VI	Anshas	26.61 Km	N: 30 ° 18 ' 518 " E: 31 ° 23 ' 320"



**Fig (1) : Map showing the sampling sites along Ismailia Canal.**





**Table (3): Variations of physico-chemical parameters of Ismailia Canal water during autumn 2010.**

Site parameter	I	II	III	IV	V	VI	Mean
T <sup>o</sup> C	19.0	17.0	17.0	18.0	19.0	19.0	18.2
E.C(μS/cm)	404	416	418	418	424	418	416.3
TDS (mg/L)	202	208	209	209	212	209	208
pH	7.8	7.8	8.0	7.8	7.6	7.6	7.76
Alkalinity (mg/L CaCO <sub>3</sub> )	180	186	180	176	174	180	179.3
TH (mg/L CaCO <sub>3</sub> )	140	138	138	132	136	132	136
DO(mg/L) Winkler	5.0	9.0	6.8	8.0	8.2	9.6	7.7
COD (mg/L)	18.8	25.5	30.0	18.0	22.0	18.8	21.12
BOD (mg/L)	1.5	1.4	2.6	2.4	2.0	3.3	2.2
NH <sub>3</sub> (mg/L)	0.032	0.183	0.011	0.000	0.066	0.160	0.075
NO <sub>2</sub> <sup>-</sup> (mg/L)	44.7	50.6	46.0	38.4	38.4	46.6	44.11
NO <sub>3</sub> <sup>-</sup> (mg/L)	0.8	0.4	0.1	2.5	0.6	1.3	0.95
PO <sub>4</sub> <sup>3-</sup> (mg/L)	0.206	0.000	0.146	0.000	0.557	0.523	0.107
Cl <sup>-</sup> (mg/L)	21.7	20.0	18.2	21.0	19.8	21.3	20.3
F <sup>-</sup> (mg/L)	0.20	0.20	0.35	0.30	0.88	1.06	0.498
Br <sup>-</sup> (mg/L)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.30	0.00	0.00	0.050
SO <sub>4</sub> <sup>2-</sup> (mg/L)	28.3	26.3	24.1	25.1	26.3	28.3	26.40
Ca <sup>2+</sup> (mg/L)	27.9	23.8	30.2	31.5	31.4	31.6	29.4
Mg <sup>2+</sup> (mg/L)	13.0	11.2	13.7	13.5	13.5	13.4	13.1
Na <sup>+</sup> (mg/L)	27.6	22.8	28.5	28.4	27.8	26.8	26.99
K <sup>+</sup> (mg/L)	4.40	3.90	4.20	5.10	5.20	5.40	4.70
Fe (mg/L) Colorimetry	0.22	0.16	0.17	0.14	0.06	0.48	0.205
Cd (μg/L)	4.0	12.4	5.5	10.3	3.8	4.9	6.81
Cu (μg/L)	8.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.4
Zn (μg/L)	26.7	0.0	24.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	8.45
Pb (μg/L)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	41.8	0.0	6.97

**Table (4): Variations of physico-chemical parameters of Ismailia Canal water during winter 2011.**

Site parameter	I	II	III	IV	V	VI	Mean
T <sup>o</sup> C	15.5	16.0	16.0	15.0	17.0	15.0	15.2
E.C (μS/cm)	460	480	474	470	474	456	469
TDS(mg/L)	230	240	237	235	237	228	234.5
pH	7.6	7.4	8.0	8.0	7.4	7.0	7.56
Alkalinity (mg/L CaCO <sub>3</sub> )	182	180	190	170	176	180	179.7
TH (mg/L CaCO <sub>3</sub> )	144	152	152	142	148	140	146.5
DO(mg/L) Winkler	8.0	10.6	9.0	9.0	10.2	10.4	9.53
COD (mg/L)	22.8	16.0	16.0	25.2	18.8	20.0	19.8
BOD (mg/L)	3.4	3.6	3.3	3.7	3.0	2.4	3.23
NH <sub>3</sub> (mg/L)	0.002	0.270	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.006	0.046
NO <sub>2</sub> <sup>-</sup> (mg/L)	46.0	58.1	37.4	42.0	47.0	46.0	46.08
NO <sub>3</sub> <sup>-</sup> (mg/L)	0.5	1.0	0.8	1.4	0.5	1.0	0.87
PO <sub>4</sub> <sup>3-</sup> (mg/L)	0.297	0.000	0.267	0.000	0.821	0.236	0.186
Cl <sup>-</sup> (mg/L)	40.0	40.2	40.4	40.0	41.0	40.3	40.3
F <sup>-</sup> (mg/L)	0.28	0.28	0.26	0.30	0.40	1.0	0.420
Br <sup>-</sup> (mg/L)	0.29	0.35	0.27	0.26	0.26	0.21	0.273
SO <sub>4</sub> <sup>2-</sup> (mg/L)	39.7	31.1	31.2	28.8	30.6	34.0	32.57
Ca <sup>2+</sup> (mg/L)	27.7	30.7	31.2	28.6	30.4	25.9	29.1
Mg <sup>2+</sup> (mg/L)	15.6	16.2	15.3	13.8	14.4	11.9	14.5
Na <sup>+</sup> (mg/L)	32.9	34.4	33.0	30.7	33.1	28.6	32.10
K <sup>+</sup> (mg/L)	4.99	4.98	5.00	4.60	4.97	4.20	4.79
Fe (mg/L) Colorimetry	0.01	0.07	0.00	0.05	0.06	0.31	0.083
Cd (μg/L)	7.8	5.0	4.9	6.4	5.7	8.8	6.43
Cu (μg/L)	8.8	0.0	0.0	0.7	7.4	0.0	2.81
Zn (μg/L)	21.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	29.0	11.1	10.33
Pb (μg/L)	133.0	74.0	48.0	0.0	99.0	259.0	102.17

**Table (5): Variations of physico-chemical parameters of Ismailia Canal water during spring 2011.**

site \ parameter	I	II	III	IV	V	VI	Mean
T °C	22.0	20.0	20.5	21.0	21.0	22.0	21.1
E.C (µS/cm)	360	372	270	365	367	390	354
TDS(mg/L)	180	186	135	182.5	183.5	195	177
pH	8.2	8.2	8.0	8.0	7.8	8.0	8.03
Alkalinity(mg/L CaCO <sub>3</sub> )	170	174	176	172	180	174	174.3
TH (mg/L CaCO <sub>3</sub> )	128	120	126	124	126	128	125.3
DO(mg/L) Winkler	8.2	6.4	8.0	7.0	7.4	6.9	7.31
COD (mg/L)	19.2	14.4	15.6	22.0	18.0	16.0	17.53
BOD (mg/L)	1.0	2.0	0.0	2.0	3.5	2.0	1.75
NH <sub>3</sub> (mg/L)	0.042	0.229	0.183	0.244	0.183	0.009	0.148
NO <sub>2</sub> <sup>-</sup> (mg/L)	0.0	46.0	34.8	0.0	22.7	27.6	21.85
NO <sub>3</sub> <sup>-</sup> (mg/L)	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.4	0.2	0.2	0.17
PO <sub>4</sub> <sup>3-</sup> (mg/L)	0.252	0.000	0.237	0.206	0.495	0.155	0.120
Cl <sup>-</sup> (mg/L)	13.6	13.7	13.7	13.8	14.0	13.3	13.0
F <sup>-</sup> (mg/L)	0.34	0.28	0.22	0.26	0.73	0.39	0.37
Br <sup>-</sup> (mg/L)	0.45	0.10	0.15	0.21	0.19	0.07	0.195
SO <sub>4</sub> <sup>2-</sup> (mg/L)	20.1	20.4	19.3	20.8	21.3	21.4	20.55
Ca <sup>2+</sup> (mg/L)	28.0	27.0	30.73	31.4	30.8	31.2	29.9
Mg <sup>2+</sup> (mg/L)	12.7	12.5	13.4	13.5	13.4	13.2	11.4
Na <sup>+</sup> (mg/L)	27.8	25.9	27.1	27.8	27.9	28.0	27.43
K <sup>+</sup> (mg/L)	4.51	4.13	3.90	5.18	5.20	5.50	4.73
Fe (mg/L) Colorimetry	0.10	0.10	0.14	0.13	0.23	0.13	0.138
Cd (µg/L)	3.0	6.0	1.0	4.5	5.0	4.0	3.91
Cu (µg/L)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Zn (µg/L)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Pb (µg/L)	21.0	0.0	0.0	12.9	0.0	0.0	5.56

**Table (6) : The activity level concentration of  $^{40}\text{K}$ ,  $^{238}\text{U}$  and  $^{232}\text{Th}$  in the investigated sites of Ismailia Canal water during 2010/2011 using  $\gamma$ -ray spectrometry.**

element site	$^{40}\text{K}\pm\text{E}$ Bq/L	$^{238}\text{U}\pm\text{E}$ Bq/L	$^{232}\text{Th}\pm\text{E}$ Bq/L
<b>I</b>	<DL	<DL	<DL
<b>II</b>	47.15±4.93	3.64±1.4	3.29±1.34
<b>III</b>	98.14±3.6	6.52±1.74	2.66±0.82
<b>IV</b>	78.65±6.76	6.97±1.02	2.05±1.08
<b>V</b>	103.84±7.01	3.8±1.34	2.65±1.55
<b>VI</b>	89.26±6.125	2.6±1.06	3.65±2.59

DL: detection limits for  $^{40}\text{K}$ ,  $^{238}\text{U}$  and  $^{232}\text{Th}$  are 3.0, 0.6 and 0.7 Bq/L, respectively. **EHCW**<sup>(10)</sup> (Egyptian Higher Committee of Water), Egyptian standards for drinking and domestic water.

**Egyptian Law (48/1982)**<sup>(11)</sup>, for the protection of the River Nile and water ways from pollution.

**WHO**<sup>(12)</sup> (World Health Organization); "Guidelines for drinking water quality.

**EPA**<sup>(13)</sup> (Environmental Protection Agency): National Drinking Water Regulations.

**EU**<sup>(14)</sup> (Council of the European Union) ; concern with the quality of water intended for human consumption.

a- no health based guideline.

b- Primary Maximum Contaminant Level - regulation is health-based.

c- Secondary Maximum Contaminant Level - regulation is based on aesthetic considerations .

**Table (7): The results of water quality parameter of water of Ismailia Canal and the permissible limits.**

Water quality parameters	Present study	EHCW <sup>(18)</sup>	Law <sup>(19)</sup> 48/1982	WHO <sup>(20)</sup>	EPA <sup>(21)</sup>	EU <sup>(22)</sup>
E.C (mg/L)	270-480	-	-	-	-	2500
TDS (mg/L)	135-240	-	-	a	500 <sup>(c)</sup>	-
pH	7-8.2	-	-	a	6.5-8.5 <sup>(c)</sup>	6.5-9.5
Alkalinity (CaCO <sub>3</sub> mg/L)	170-190	-	-	-	-	-
DO (mg/L)	5-10.6	-	> 5	-	-	-
COD (mg/L)	8.8-30	-	< 15	-	-	-
BOD (mg/L)	1-3.7	-	< 6	-	-	-
Total hardness	170-188	-	-	-	-	-

(CaCO <sub>3</sub> mg/L)						
NH <sub>3</sub> (mg/L)	0-0.664	-	0.5	a	-	0.5
NO <sub>2</sub> <sup>-</sup> (mg/L)	0-0.581	-	-	3	1 <sup>(b)</sup>	0.5
NO <sub>3</sub> <sup>-</sup> (mg/L)	0.0-2.5	-	45	50	10 <sup>(b)</sup>	50
PO <sub>4</sub> <sup>-3</sup> (mg/L)	0.0-0.821	-	-	-	-	-
Cl <sup>-</sup> (mg/L)	12.2-40.4	-	-	a	250 <sup>(c)</sup>	250
F <sup>-</sup> (mg/L)	0.2-1.06	0.08	-	1.5	2 <sup>(c)</sup> -4 <sup>(b)</sup>	1.5
Br <sup>-</sup> (mg/L)	0-0.45	-	-	a	-	-
SO <sub>4</sub> <sup>-2</sup> (mg/L)	16.6-39.7	400	-	a	250 <sup>(c)</sup>	250
Ca <sup>+2</sup> (mg/L)	23.8-35.3	200	-	a	-	-
Mg <sup>+2</sup> (mg/L)	10.2-16.1	150	-	a	-	-
Na <sup>+</sup> (mg/L)	23.1-34.4	200	-	a	-	200
K <sup>+</sup> (mg/L)	3.89-5.90	-	-	a	-	-
Fe (mg/L)	0.0-0.48	-	-	a	0.3 <sup>(c)</sup>	0.2
Cd <sup>2+</sup> (µg/L)	1-12.4	5	-	3	5 <sup>(b)</sup>	5
Cu <sup>2+</sup> (µg/L)	0.0-38.5	1000	-	2000	1300 <sup>(b)</sup>	2000
Zn <sup>2+</sup> (µg/L)	0.0-40.0	5000	-	a	5000 <sup>(c)</sup>	-
Pb <sup>2+</sup> (µg/L)	0.0-259	50	-	10	15 <sup>(b)</sup>	10
Al <sup>3+</sup> (mg/L)	0.0-1.708	0.2	-	0.2	0.2	0.2
<sup>40</sup> K(Bq/L)	0.0-103.84	-	-	-	-	-
<sup>238</sup> U (Bq/L)	0.0-6.97	-	-	10	0.372 (30µg/L)	-
<sup>232</sup> Th (Bq/L)	0.0-3.65	-	-	1	-	-

## References

1. Nair, I.V.; Singh, K.; Arumugam, M.; Gangadhar, K. and Clarson, D. 2010. Trace metal quality of Meenachil River at Kottayam, Kerala (India) by principal component analysis. *World Appl. Sci. J.* 9(10): 1100-1107.
2. Geriessh, M.H.; Balke, K. and El-Rayes, A. 2008. Problems of drinking water treatment along Ismailia Canal Province, Egypt. *J., Zhejiang Univ. Sci. B.*, 9 (3): 232-242.
3. Abdo, M. H. ; Sabae, S. Z.; Haroon, B. M.; Refaat, B.M. and Mohammed, A.S. 2010. Physico-chemical characteristics, microbial assessment and antibiotic susceptibility of pathogenic bacteria of Ismailia Canal water, Egypt. *J. Amer. Sci.*, 6(5) :234-250.
4. Abd El-Hady, H.H. and Hussian, A.M. . 2012. Regional and seasonal variation of phytoplankton assemblages and its biochemical analysis in Ismailia Canal, River Nile, Egypt. *J. Appl. Sci. Res.*, 8(7): 3433-3447.

5. Stahl, R. and Ramadan, A.B. 2008. Environmental studies on water quality of the Ismailia Canal / Egypt. *Wissenschaftliche Berichte FZKA*, 7427: 1-47.
6. Mollah, A.S.; Rahman, M.M. and Husain, S.R. 1986. Distribution of gamma-emitting radionuclides in soils at the Atomic Energy Research Establishment, Savar, Bangladesh. *J. Health Phys.*, 50 (6): 835–838.
7. American Public Health Association (APHA), American Water Works Association (AWWA) and Water Environment Federation (WEF). 2005. “Standard methods for the examination of water and wastewater” 21<sup>st</sup> Ed. American Public Health Association, Washington, DC. .
8. Dionex Corporation. 1998. Determination of transition metals at ppt levels in high-purity water and SC2 (D-clean) baths. Application Note 131, LPN 1058-01, Sunnyvale, CA.
9. Abdo, M. H. and El-Nasharty, S. M . 2010. Physico-chemical evaluations and trace metals distribution in water-surficial sediment of Ismailia Canal, Egypt. *J. Nat . Sci.*, 8(5): 198-206.
10. EHCW (Egyptian Higher Committee of Water). 1995. Egyptian standards for drinking and domestic water according to the Act 27/1978 in regulating of the public water supplies. Egyptian Governmental Press.
11. Egyptian Law (48/1982). The Implementer Regulations for law 48/1982 regarding the protection of the River Nile and water ways from pollution. *Map. Periodical Bull*, pp 12-35.
12. WHO (World Health Organization). 2008. “Guidelines for drinking water quality” 3<sup>rd</sup> Ed. WHO, Geneva.
13. EPA (Environmental Protection Agency). 2009. National Drinking Water Regulations. <http://water.epa.gov/drink/contaminants/index.cfm>
14. Council of the European Union. 1998. Council directive 98/83/EC on the quality of water intended for human consumption. *Official Journal of the European Communities*, No L330/54 pp 32-52.
15. Abdo, M. H. 1998. Some environmental studies on the River Nile and Ismailia Canal in front of the industrial area of Shoubra El-Kheima. M. Sc. Thesis, Faculty. Science, Ain Shams University, Egypt.
16. Abdel-Satar, A. M . 2005. Water quality assessment of River Nile from Idfo to Cairo, Egypt. *J. Aqua. Res.*, 31 (2): 200-223.
17. Sabae, S.Z. 2004. Monitoring of microbial pollution in the River Nile and the impact of some Human activities on its waters. *Proc. 3rd Int. Conf. Biol. Sci. Fac. Sci. Tanta Univ.*, 28-29 April, 3: 200-214.
18. Abdel Malik, W.E.Y.; Ibrahim, A.S.; Youssef, S.K.; Aziz, M. and El-Shinawy, R.M.K. 2010. Radiological review studies on Ismailia Canal ecology. Tenth Radiation Physics & Protection Conference, Cairo, 27-30 November.
19. Murugesan, A. G. and Rajakumari, C. 2005. “Environmental science and biotechnology”, M.J.P. Publication, Chennai.
20. Jayakumar, P. ; Jothivel, N.; Thimmappa, A. And Paul, V.I. 2009. Physicochemical characterization of a lentic water body from Tamil Nadu with special reference to its pollution status. *The ECOSCAN.*, 3(1&2) :59-64

21. Abd-El-Razak, A. B.; Asiedu, R. E. M. and de-Graft- Johnson, K. A. A. 2009. Assessment of the water quality of the Oti River in Ghana. *West Afric. J. Appl. Ecology.*, 15: 45-60.
22. EPA (Environmental Protection Agency).2001. "Parameters of water quality-interpretation and standards" 3<sup>rd</sup> Ed . EPA, Ireland.
23. Igbinoso, E. O. and Okoh, A. I. 2009. Impact of discharge wastewater effluents on the physico-chemical qualities of a receiving watershed in a typical rural community. *Community Int. J. Environ. Sci. Tech.*, 6 (2): 175-182.
24. CCME (Canadian Council of Ministers of the Environment). 2010 Canadian water quality guidelines for the protection of aquatic life: ammonia. [ccqg-rcqe.ccme.ca/download/en/141/](http://www.ccme.ca/download/en/141/)
25. Wetzel, R. G.1983. "Limnology" .2nd Ed. Saunder College Publishing, pp 767.
26. Abdo, M . H. 2005. Physico-chemical characteristics of Abu Za'baal ponds, Egypt. *Egypt. J. Aquatic. Res.*, 31(2): 1-15.
27. Sabae, S.Z. and Abdel-Satar, A.M. 2001. Chemical and bacteriological studies on El-Salam Canal, Egypt. *J. Egypt. Acad. Soc. Environ. Develop.*, 2(1): 173-197.
28. Haamer, K. 2006. Hydrochemistry and sources of fluoride in Silurian-. Ordovician aquifer system. M. Sc. Thesis, Institute. Geology, University. Tartu., Estonia.
29. Arlow, A. 2003. Crystallization aspects of the wet- process phosphoric acid industry. M. Sc. Thesis, Faculty. Engineering., University. Pretoria., Pretoria, 2003 <http://upetd.up.ac.za/thesis/available/etd04152004095501/unrestricted/00Front.pdf>
30. Toufeek, M.E.F. 2011. Distribution of cadmium and lead in Aswan Reservoir and River Nile water at Aswan. *World Appl. Sci. J.* 13(2):369-375.
31. Smith, K.P. 1992. An overview of naturally occurring radioactive materials (NORM) in the petroleum industry. Environmental Assessment and Information Science Division.
32. Kalra, N.; Kumar, R.; Yadav, S.S. and Singh, R.T.2012. Water quality index assessment of ground water in Koilwar block of Bhojpur (Bihar) *J. Chem. Pharm. Res.* 4(3):1782-1786.
33. Canadian Council of Minister of the Environment (CCME) .2001. Canadian water quality guidelines for the protection of aquatic life: CCME Water Quality Index 1.0, Technical Report," Canadian Council of Ministers of the Environment Winnipeg MB, Canada.



