Al-Azhar Bulletin of Science

Volume 17 | Issue 2

Article 2

12-20-2006

Section: Botany, Microbiology and Zoology

STUDIES OF SEED PROTEIN, ESTERASE ISOZYME AND SEED COAT SCAN ON SOME CULTIVATED SPECIES OF GENUS MINUSOPS (SAPOTACEAE) IN EGYPT

WAEL KASEEM Botany and Microbiology Department, Faculty of Science, Al- Azhar University, Madient Nasr, Cairo, Egypt.

MAHMOUD MONSOUR Botany and Microbiology Department, Faculty of Science, Al- Azhar University, Madient Nasr, Cairo, Egypt.

Follow this and additional works at: https://absb.researchcommons.org/journal

Part of the Life Sciences Commons

How to Cite This Article

KASEEM, WAEL and MONSOUR, MAHMOUD (2006) "STUDIES OF SEED PROTEIN, ESTERASE ISOZYME AND SEED COAT SCAN ON SOME CULTIVATED SPECIES OF GENUS MINUSOPS (SAPOTACEAE) IN EGYPT," *Al-Azhar Bulletin of Science*: Vol. 17: Iss. 2, Article 2. DOI: https://doi.org/10.21608/absb.2006.14442

This Original Article is brought to you for free and open access by Al-Azhar Bulletin of Science. It has been accepted for inclusion in Al-Azhar Bulletin of Science by an authorized editor of Al-Azhar Bulletin of Science. For more information, please contact kh_Mekheimer@azhar.edu.eg.

STUDIES OF SEED PROTEIN, ESTERASE ISOZYME AND SEED COAT SCAN ON SOME CULTIVATED SPECIES OF GENUS MINUSOPS (SAPOTACEAE) IN EGYPT

WAEL TAHA KASEEM and MAHMOUD A. MONSOUR

Botany and Microbiology Department, Faculty of Science, Al- Azhar University., Madient Nasr, Cairo, Egypt.

Abstract

Electrophoretic profiles of native seed protein and esterase isozyme characters of four species of genus *Mimusops* have been revealed by PAGE. Seed coat scanning and numerical analysis of the results carried out. These species are *M. laurifolia*, *M. elengi*, *M. zeyheri* and *M. coffra*. The results of SEM on the surface seeds showed that, Seed ornamentation in *Mimusops zeyheri* differs than the rest species of the genus Mimusops by showing replicas of freeze on the their membrane surface. The results of seed protein and esterase isozyme characters indicated that delimitation of *Mimusops zeyheri* in a separated level, separation of two species of *Mimusops coffra* and *Mimusops elengi* in a separated group, in addition distinguishing of *Mimusops laurifolia* than other two species of *Mimusops elengi* and *Mimusops coffra*.

Introduction

Genus *Mimusops* belong to Tribe *Mimusopeae* of family Sapotaceae which have 53 genera and more than 1200 species (Govaerts *et al.*, 2001). They occur worldwide, but mostly in tropical and subtropical regions (Igor *et al.*, 2004). Genus *Mimusops* comprises about 30 species of which approximately 25 species are distributed in tropical regions of the world (Nusrat *et al.*, 1995). The characters of the seed surface are often stable and comparative scanning electron microscopy of seed epidermal patterns and structure have provided useful taxonomic characters, especially in small seeds (Barthlott, 1981). Protein bands of family Sapotaceae have shown similar characteristics as molecular masses, determined by gel filtration and native gel. (Podrigues *et al.*, 2004). Most useful methods for determination of the seed protein and isozymes are polyacrylamide and starch gel electrophoresis (Blakshear, 1984 and Zimniak-Przbylska *et al.*, 1985). The use of seed profiles for taxonomic and evolutionary purposes has largely increased and has been widely used to many families and genera (Adrianse *et al.*, 1969). Peptidase acid phosphates and peroxidases were unstable enzyme systems for comparative studies of extracted

dry cotyledons, while esterase has been found stable for comparison (Ladizinsiky and Hymowitz, 1979). The present work has been carried out on the cultivated species of genus *Mimusops* in order to consideration the molecular pattern and studies a survey on the significance of macromorphological diagnostics attributes to clarify the interrelationships between the different species of genus *Mimusops* in Egypt.

Materials and Methods

In the present study, seeds of four species related to genus *Mimusops* have been investigated. Fruit specimens were collected from different botanical gardens in Egypt. A list of the studied taxa and localities are given in is Table 1.

Table 1: Sources of the Studied Species:

No.	Таха	Location
1 -	Mimusops laurifolia (Forssk.) Friis	El-Mesala Garden
2 -	Mimusops elengi Linn.	Orman Garden
3 -	Mimusops zeyheri Sond.	Orman Garden
4 -	Mimusops coffra DC.	El-Zohrya Garden

A- Seed Coat Studies:

For preparation of seeds of each specimens to scan the surface by using the Scanning Electron Microscope (SEM), the seeds were washed thoroughly by distilled water to remove any impurities on their surfaces dried and mounted on adhesive surface. These clean dry seeds were coated with gold film in Apolarom E 1100 ion sputtering device then viewed and photographed with Joel ISM 5300 scanning electron microscope in Ain Shams University.

B- Electrophoretic Investigations:

1- Seed Protein Characters:

Characterization of seed protein fraction was carried out by using one dimensional sodium dodecyl sulphate polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE) 0.5 g of mature dried seeds were mixed with an equal weight of pure, clean, sterile fine sand and powdered using a mortar and pestle. The powder was homogenized with 5 ml of 0.2 M Tris-HCl buffer pH 8 for I h at 4oC, by gentle motion. The extract was centrifuged at 12000 rpm for 10 min. The supernatant(protein extract) was either immediately used or stored at -20oC. According to the methods described by Stegeman *et al.*, (1988) the sample was prepared for

electrophoresis as the following: To sample protein 20 μ m of each protein extract, 60 μ m of buffer, 50 μ m SDS ad 25 μ m mercaptoethanol were added and put and put in boiling water bath for 5 minutes. Then, 20 μ l of this mixture were loaded in 12.5% stacking gel, which was prepared as described by Laemmli(1970). Electrophoresis was carried out in Tris- Glycin buffer (pH 8.3) at 4oC and 100 volt for 2-3hr, untile tha tracking dye (bromophenol blue) reaches the bottom of the gel (Shi and Jackowski, 1998). The separated proteins bands were stained with coomassie brilliant blue stain R- 250 (0.1 g), distilled water (400 ml), acetic acid (70 ml), methanol (200 ml) and trichloroacetic acid (TCA,60 ml)). The gels were soaked in excess of staining solution till the appearance of the bands, then, the gel was transferred to distaining solution (methanol, 150 ml), glacial acetic acid (50 ml) distilled water (300 ml). The bands produced by each sample were counted and their relatives mobilities were determined and scanned by using Hoefer Scanning Densitometer GS 300.

2- Esterase Isozyme Character:

1 g seedlings was macerated in saline buffer solution (0.8% NaCl and 2.2% NaNO3) and centrifuged at 3000 r.p.m. for 3 minutes to prepare the crude tissues extract (7.5 % non-dissociating polyacrlamide gels). Also gels were prepared as described by Laemmli (1970). Esterase bands were detected after incubation in 100 ml of 0.1 phospate buffer tris buffer (pH 7.5), containing 0.02 g α - naphthyl acetate and 0.02 g β -naphthyl acetate dissolved in 2 ml acetone, as substrate, and 0.15 g of fast blue R R, the gel was transferred to the distaining solution (150 ml methanol + 50 ml glacial acetic acid + 30 ml water). The bands produced by each sample were counted and their relatives motilities were determined and scanned by using Hoefer Scanning Densitometer GS 300.

C- Numerical Analysis:

Use of substantial number of attributes i. e. seed coat and electrophoretic investigations for all species have made it possible to produce a number of phenetic classifications based on the dissimilarity between genera and species. The character was treated as binary character in data matrix i. e. coded 1 and o respectively. For the numerical analysis the NTSYS-PC version 1.50 program was used (Rohlf, 1988). Clustering was performed using the unweighed pair-group method arithmetic means (UPGMA) by sequential, agglomerate, herarechial and nested clustering method (SAHN) as defined by Sneath and Sokal (1973). The output of SAHN-

clustering program was plotted in the form of a phenogram by using the tree display graphic (TG).

Results:

A- Seed Coat Characters (Plate II):

1- Mimusops laurifolia

Seeds ovate shaped, pale brown in color The seed coat under SEM observation showed, regulate reticulate ornamentation with raised straight undulate ridges (Plate I& II).

2- Mimusops elengi


Seeds ovoid shaped, pale brown in color The SEM examination showed irregular ornamentation, sclariform with fine pored in some rugae, narrow zones of radial tissues (Plate I& II).

3- Mimusops zeyheri

Seed ellipsoid shaped, brown in color. Examination of seed surface by SEM showed irregular ornamentation, polygonal clustered cells- tuberculate with closely spaced irregularly shaped tuberless, replicas of freeze on the surface of the membrane (Plate I& II).

4- Mimusops coffra

Seed ellipsoid shaped with curled apex, yellow in color. SEM of seed coat showed irregular reticulate ornamentation, peripheral membrane complexes associated with surface present (Plate I& II).



Plat I: Different Fruits of Mimusops Species




Plate II: SEM Photomicrographs of Seed surface of Mimusops species

B- Electrophoretic Investigations:

1- Seed Protein Characters:

The number of bands of protein between the different species are represented in Table (2) and photographed in Plate III. In the studied species of genus *Mimusops*, there are in total 49 bands have been recorded; 27, 26, 24 and 28 bands have been recorded in *M. laurifolia*, *M. elengi*, *M. zeyheri* and *M. coffra*, respectively. Band number 1, 4, 29, and 37 are found in all species, 5 bands named 14, 17, 23, 38 and 40 are found in *M. laurifolia* only. In addition 4 bands of 2, 5,28,45 and 49 are recorded only in *M. zeyheri*. Similarly, two bands 10 and 33 are only found in *M. elengi*. The present studies revealed that *M. zeyheri* and *M. coffra* are shared in band number 16. (Table 2, Plate III).

2- Esterease Isozyme Characters:

The number of bands of protein between the different species are represented in Table (3) and photographed in Plate IV. In the present investigation, it was found in total 16 protein bands represented as follows; 9 bands have been recorded in

M. laurifolia, 8 bands have been recorded in *M. elengi*, 2 bands have been recorded in *M. zeyheri* and 6 bands are represented in *M. coffra*. The present work showed that, all the studied species are shared in band number 5. Also, 4 bands named 2, 7, 12 and 16 are found in *M. laurifolia* only. In addition two bands of 3 and 5 are recorded in *M. zeyheri*. Similarly, three band named 1, 4 and 5 are found in both *M. laurifolia* and *M. elengi*. (Table 3, Plate IV).

Band Relative Position		Mi	musops	Specie	s	Band No.	Relative Position	Mimusops Species			
140.	(cm)	1	2	3	4	INO.	(cm)	1	2	3	4
1	0.12	8.0	8.1	4.1	7.7	26	6.40	6.0			8.9
2	0.20			2.8		27	6.60		4.5		6.8
3	0.25		3.5		2.9	28	6.75			7.4	
4	0.29	3.6	2.3	8.0	0.9	29	7.00	2.8	6.4	1.1	3.2
5	0.30			2.6		30	7.21	7.6			10.0
6	0.34	7.5	5.00		4.2	31	7.50	1.9	3.0		
7	0.43	9.3			0.8	32	7.46	2.6		7.5	2.9
8	0.60		3.00		2.0	33	7.60		7.5		
9	0.65	1.8		2.1		34	7.85	4.2			1.6
10	0.86		1.8			35	8.00	6.1	5.7		1.0
11	0.90	7.2		2.6	1.6	36	8.17	3.2	3.1		2.5
12	1.00			2.5		37	8.20	2.7	1.3	31.2	21.5
13	1.30		13.5	6.6		38	8.43	2.6			
14	1.65	5.3				39	8.63		7.8	6.4	2.1
15	1.87		6.9	2.9		40	8.80	10.4			
16	1.93			2.8	1.6	41	8.85		4.2	6.5	1.7
17	2.23	4.8				42	9.01		2.1		
18	2.50		2.4		1.2	43	9.20	6.0			6.4
19	3.00	2.9		1.4	7.2	44	9.62	2.8	4.5	7.4	
20	3.40	5.1	5.2			45	9.84			5.6	
21	3.60	5.4		7.8	2.1	46	10.16		6.4	1.1	3.2
22	4.21		3.0	1.3		47	10.53		7.6		10.0
23	4.22	2.0				48	10.59	2.6	3.0		
24	4.60		6.4		3.8	49	10.90			7.5	
25	5.91	2.2		1.6	5.8						

 Table 2: Relative Percentages and Position of Protein Bands of the Total Protein

 Extracted From the Studied species

Band No.	Relative Position (cm)	Mimusops Species				Band No.	Relative Position	Mimusops Species			
INO.		1	2	3	4	110.	(cm)	1	2	3	4
1	0.19	8.0	8.1		7.7	9	1.38	3.00			6.8
2	0.23	5.6				10	1.45	1.8			
3	029			4.1		11	1.90		1.8		
4	0.31	4.6	2.3		0.9	12	2.00	7.2			
5	0.43	7.5	5.00		4.2	13	2.49		6.9		6.5
6	0.43		3.5			14	3.02		1.8		
7	1.20	9.3		2.6		15	3.59				5.9
8	1.29		4.8			16	3.69	2.65			

 Table 3: Relative Percentages and Position of Esterase Isozyme Bands
 Extracted from the Studied species




Plate III: SDS-Polyacrylamide Gel Electrophoresis Illustrating Storage Seed Protein of the Studied Species




Plate IV: SDS-Polyacrylamide Gel Electrophoresis Illustrating Estereases Isozyme of the Studied Species of the *Mimusops*

C. Numerical Analysis:

Table 4: Description of the 71 Used Qualitative and Quantitative Characters and Their Codes for Numerical Analysis

I Fruit Characters II-Seed Coat Characters 1 Fruit Shaped 5-Reticulate form 1 -Fusiform, 0- Not fusiform 1. Present, 0. Absent 2. Fruit Texture 6- Peripheral membrane complexes 1. Seed Colour 1. Present, 0. Absent 3. Seed Colour 1. Present, 0. Absent 4. Seed Shaped 1. Present, 0. Absent 5. Bet Colour 1. Present, 0. Absent 8. replicas of freeze on the surface 1. Present, 0. Absent 9 0.12 34 6.40 10 0.20 35 6.60 11 0.25 36 6.75 12 0.29 37 7.00 13 0.30 38 7.21 14 0.34 39 7.50 15 0.43 40 7.46 16 0.60 41 7.60 17 0.65 42 7.85 18 0.86 43 8.00 19 0.90 44 8.17 20 1.00 45 8.20 21 1.65 47	A- Seed Coat Characters						
1-FusiForm, 0- Not fusiform 1- Present, 0- Absent 2. Fruit Texture 6- Peripheral membrane complexes 1- Smown, 0- Vellow 1- Present, 0- Absent 3. Seed Colour 1- Present, 0- Absent 4. Seed Shaped 1- Present, 0- Absent 1- Ellipsoid Shaped, 0 Not 1- Present, 0- Absent B-Seed Protein Characters I- Migration Distance of Protein Bands 9 0.12 34 6.40 10 0.20 35 6.60 11 0.25 36 6.75 12 0.29 37 7.00 13 0.30 38 7.21 14 0.34 39 7.50 15 0.43 40 7.46 16 0.60 41 7.60 17 0.65 42 7.85 18 0.86 43 8.00 19 0.90 44 8.17 20 1.00 45 8.20 21 1.35 9.01 26 25 2.23 50 9.01 <td colspan="3">I- Fruit Characters</td> <td>II-Seed Coat Characters</td>	I- Fruit Characters			II-Seed Coat Characters			
2. Fruit Texture 6- Peripheral membrane complexes 1 - Smooth, 0- Not smooth 1 - Present, 0 - Absent 3. Seed Colour 1 - Present, 0 - Absent 1 - Brown, 0 - Yellow 1 - Present, 0 - Absent 4. Seed Shaped 1 - Present, 0 - Absent 8. Seed Protein Characters 1 - Present, 0 - Absent 9 0.12 34 0 0.20 35 0 0.21 34 10 0.20 35 12 0.29 37 13 0.30 38 7.40 11 14 0.34 39 15 0.43 40 16 0.60 41 17 0.65 42 18 0.86 43 20 1.00 45 21 1.30 46 23 1.87 48 24 1.93 49 25 2.23 50 26 2.50 51 9.20 27 3.00 52 9.62 2	1 Fruit Shaped			5-Reticulate form			
1 - Smooth, 0 - Not smooth 1 - Present, 0 - Absent 3 - Seed Colour 7. Sclariform 1 - Brown, 0 - Yellow 9 4 - Seed Shaped 1 - Present, 0 - Absent 8 - replicas of freeze on the surface 1 - Present, 0 - Absent 8 - seed Protein Characters 1 - Present, 0 - Absent 9 0.12 34 9 0.12 34 10 0.20 35 35 6.60 11 0.25 36 13 0.30 38 7.20 13 0.30 14 0.34 39 7.50 15 15 0.43 40 7.46 16 16 0.60 41 7.60 17 17 0.65 42 18 0.86 43 8.00 19 0.90 44 8.17 20 20 1.00 45 8.20 21 1.30 46 24 1.93 49 8.85				1- Present, 0- Absent			
3 - Seed Colour 1 - Brown, 0 - Yellow 4 - Seed Shaped 1 - Present, 0 - Absent 1 - Ellipsoid Shaped, 0 Not 2 - Protein Characters I- Migration Distance of Protein Bands 9 0.12 34 6.40 10 0.20 35 6.60 11 0.25 36 6.75 12 0.29 37 7.00 13 0.30 38 7.21 14 0.34 39 7.50 15 0.43 40 7.46 16 0.60 41 7.60 17 0.65 42 7.85 18 0.86 43 8.00 19 0.90 44 8.17 20 1.00 45 8.20 21 1.30 46 8.43 22 1.65 47 8.63 23 1.87 48 8.80 24 1.93 49 8.85 25 2.23 50 9.01 26 2.50 5	2- Fruit	Texture		6- Peripheral membrane complexes			
1 - Brown, 0 - Yellow 1 - Present, 0 - Absent 4 - Seed Shaped 1 - Present, 0 - Absent 1 - Ellipsoid Shaped, 0 Not 1 - Present, 0 - Absent B - Seed Protein Characters 1 - Present, 0 - Absent I - Migration Distance of Protein Bands 9 9 0.12 34 6.40 10 0.20 35 6.60 11 0.25 36 6.75 12 0.29 37 7.00 13 0.30 38 7.21 14 0.34 39 7.50 15 0.43 40 7.46 16 0.60 41 7.60 17 0.65 42 7.85 18 0.86 43 8.00 19 0.90 44 8.17 20 1.00 45 8.20 21 1.30 46 8.43 22 1.65 47 8.63 23 1.87 48 8.80 24 1.93 49 8.85 25	1- Sm	nooth, 0- Not	smooth	1- Present, 0- Absent			
4 - Seed Shaped I - Ellipsoid Shaped, 0 Not 8- replicas of freeze on the surface I - Present, 0 - Absent B - Seed Protein Characters I - Migration Distance of Protein Bands 9 0.12 34 6.40 10 0.20 35 6.60 11 0.25 36 6.75 12 0.29 37 7.00 13 0.30 38 7.21 14 0.34 39 7.50 15 0.43 40 7.46 16 0.60 41 7.60 17 0.65 42 7.85 18 0.86 43 8.00 19 0.90 44 8.17 20 1.00 45 8.20 21 1.30 46 8.43 22 1.65 47 8.63 23 1.87 48 8.80 24 1.93 49 8.85 25 2.30 51 9.20 27 3.00 52 9.62	3- Seed	Colour		7- Sclariform			
1 - Ellipsoid Shaped, 0 Not 1 - Present, 0 - Absent B - Seed Protein Characters I - Migration Distance of Protein Bands 9 0.12 34 6.40 10 0.20 35 6.60 11 0.25 36 6.75 12 0.29 37 7.00 13 0.30 38 7.21 14 0.34 39 7.50 15 0.43 40 7.46 16 0.60 41 7.60 17 0.65 42 7.85 18 0.86 43 8.00 19 0.90 44 8.17 20 1.00 45 8.20 21 1.30 46 8.43 22 1.65 47 8.63 23 1.87 48 8.80 24 1.93 49 8.85 25 2.23 50 9.01 26 2.50 51 9.20 27 3.	1- Bro	own, 0- Yello	W	1- Present, 0- Absent			
B-Seed Protein Characters I-Migration Distance of Protein Bands 9 0.12 34 6.40 10 0.20 35 6.60 11 0.25 36 6.75 12 0.29 37 7.00 13 0.30 38 7.21 14 0.34 39 7.50 15 0.43 40 7.46 16 0.60 41 7.60 17 0.65 42 7.85 18 0.86 43 8.00 19 0.90 44 8.17 20 1.00 45 8.20 21 1.30 46 8.43 22 1.65 47 8.63 23 1.87 48 8.80 24 1.93 49 8.85 25 2.23 50 9.01 26	4- Seed	Shaped		8- replicas of freeze on the surface			
I- Migration Distance of Protein Bands 9 0.12 34 6.40 10 0.20 35 6.60 11 0.25 36 6.75 12 0.29 37 7.00 13 0.30 38 7.21 14 0.34 39 7.50 15 0.43 40 7.46 16 0.65 42 7.85 18 0.86 43 8.00 19 0.90 44 8.17 20 1.00 45 8.20 21 1.30 46 8.43 22 1.65 47 8.63 23 1.87 48 8.80 24 1.93 49 8.85 25 2.23 50 9.01 26 2.50 51 9.20 27 3.60 54 10.16 <t< td=""><td>1- Ell</td><td>ipsoid Shaped</td><td>d, 0 Not</td><td>1- Present, 0- Absent</td></t<>	1- Ell	ipsoid Shaped	d, 0 Not	1- Present, 0- Absent			
9 0.12 34 6.40 10 0.20 35 6.60 11 0.25 36 6.75 12 0.29 37 7.00 13 0.30 38 7.21 14 0.34 39 7.50 15 0.43 40 7.46 16 0.60 41 7.60 17 0.65 42 7.85 18 0.86 43 8.00 19 0.90 44 8.17 20 1.00 45 8.20 21 1.30 46 8.43 22 1.65 47 8.63 23 1.87 48 8.80 24 1.93 49 8.85 25 2.23 50 9.01 26 2.50 51 9.20 27 3.00 52	B- Seed Protein Characters						
10 0.20 35 6.60 11 0.25 36 6.75 12 0.29 37 7.00 13 0.30 38 7.21 14 0.34 39 7.50 15 0.43 40 7.46 16 0.60 41 7.60 17 0.65 42 7.85 18 0.86 43 8.00 19 0.90 44 8.17 20 1.00 45 8.20 21 1.30 46 8.43 22 1.65 47 8.63 23 1.87 48 8.80 24 1.93 49 8.85 25 2.23 50 9.01 26 2.50 51 9.20 27 3.00 52 9.62 28 3.40 53 9.84 29 3.60 54 10.	I- Migra	tion Distan	ce of Protein	Bands			
11 0.25 36 6.75 12 0.29 37 7.00 13 0.30 38 7.21 14 0.34 39 7.50 15 0.43 40 7.46 16 0.60 41 7.60 17 0.65 42 7.85 18 0.86 43 8.00 19 0.90 44 8.17 20 1.00 45 8.20 21 1.30 46 8.43 22 1.65 47 8.63 23 1.87 48 8.80 24 1.93 49 8.85 25 2.23 50 9.01 26 2.50 51 9.20 27 3.00 52 9.62 28 3.40 53 9.84 29 3.60 54 10.16 30 4.21 55 10.59 32 4.60 57 10.90 33 5.91 $-$ II-Migration Distance of Esterase Isozyme Bands58 0.19 65 1.45 59 0.23 66 1.90 60 029 67 2.00 61 0.31 68 2.49 62 0.43 70 3.59	9	0.12	34	6.40			
12 0.29 37 7.00 13 0.30 38 7.21 14 0.34 39 7.50 15 0.43 40 7.46 16 0.60 41 7.60 17 0.65 42 7.85 18 0.86 43 8.00 19 0.90 44 8.17 20 1.00 45 8.20 21 1.30 46 8.43 22 1.65 47 8.63 23 1.87 48 8.80 24 1.93 49 8.85 25 2.23 50 9.01 26 2.50 51 9.20 27 3.00 52 9.62 28 3.40 53 9.84 29 3.60 54 10.16 30 4.21 55 10.53 31 4.22 56 10.59 32 4.60 57 10.90 33 5.91 5.91 II-Migration Distance of Esterase Isozyme Bands 58 0.19 65 1.45 59 0.23 66 1.90 60 029 67 2.00 61 0.31 68 2.49 62 0.43 69 3.02 63 0.43 70 3.59	10	0.20	35	6.60			
13 0.30 38 7.21 14 0.34 39 7.50 15 0.43 40 7.46 16 0.60 41 7.60 17 0.65 42 7.85 18 0.86 43 8.00 19 0.90 44 8.17 20 1.00 45 8.20 21 1.30 46 8.43 22 1.65 47 8.63 23 1.87 48 8.80 24 1.93 49 8.85 25 2.23 50 9.01 26 2.50 51 9.20 27 3.00 52 9.62 28 3.40 53 9.84 29 3.60 54 10.16 30 4.21 55 10.59 31 4.22 56 10.59 32 4.60 57 10.90 33 5.91 145 Image: Isozyme Bands 58 0.19 65 66 1.90 60 029 67 2.00 61 0.31 68 2.49 62 0.43 69 3.02 63 0.43 70 3.59	11	0.25	36	6.75			
14 0.34 39 7.50 15 0.43 40 7.46 16 0.60 41 7.60 17 0.65 42 7.85 18 0.86 43 8.00 19 0.90 44 8.17 20 1.00 45 8.20 21 1.30 46 8.43 22 1.65 47 8.63 23 1.87 48 8.80 24 1.93 49 8.85 25 2.23 50 9.01 26 2.50 51 9.20 27 3.00 52 9.62 28 3.40 53 9.84 29 3.60 54 10.16 30 4.21 55 10.53 31 4.22 56 10.59 32 4.60 57 10.90 33 5.91 $-$ II-Migration Distance of Esterase Isozyme Bands 58 0.19 65 1.45 59 0.23 66 1.90 60 029 67 2.00 61 0.31 68 2.49 62 0.43 69 3.02 63 0.43 70 3.59	12	0.29	37	7.00			
15 0.43 40 7.46 16 0.60 41 7.60 17 0.65 42 7.85 18 0.86 43 8.00 19 0.90 44 8.17 20 1.00 45 8.20 21 1.30 46 8.43 22 1.65 47 8.63 23 1.87 48 8.80 24 1.93 49 8.85 25 2.23 50 9.01 26 2.50 51 9.20 27 3.00 52 9.62 28 3.40 53 9.84 29 3.60 54 10.16 30 4.21 55 10.53 31 4.22 56 10.59 32 4.60 57 10.90 33 5.91 $-$ II-Migration Distance of Esterase Isozyme Bands 58 58	13	0.30	38	7.21			
16 0.60 41 7.60 17 0.65 42 7.85 18 0.86 43 8.00 19 0.90 44 8.17 20 1.00 45 8.20 21 1.30 46 8.43 22 1.65 47 8.63 23 1.87 48 8.80 24 1.93 49 8.85 25 2.23 50 9.01 26 2.50 51 9.20 27 3.00 52 9.62 28 3.40 53 9.84 29 3.60 54 10.16 30 4.21 55 10.53 31 4.22 56 10.59 32 4.60 57 10.90 33 5.91 $-$ II-Migration Distance of Esteras: Isozyme Bands 58 0.19 65 1.45 59 0.23 66 1.90 60 029 67 2.00 61 0.31 68 2.49 62 0.43 69 3.02	14	0.34	39	7.50			
17 0.65 42 7.85 18 0.86 43 8.00 19 0.90 44 8.17 20 1.00 45 8.20 21 1.30 46 8.43 22 1.65 47 8.63 23 1.87 48 8.80 24 1.93 49 8.85 25 2.23 50 9.01 26 2.50 51 9.20 27 3.00 52 9.62 28 3.40 53 9.84 29 3.60 54 10.16 30 4.21 55 10.53 31 4.22 56 10.59 32 4.60 57 10.90 33 5.91 $-$ II- Migration Distance of Esteras: Isozyme Bands 58 0.19 65 1.45 59 0.23 66 1.90 61 0.31 68 2.49 62 0.43 69 3.02 63 0.43 70 3.59	15	0.43	40	7.46			
18 0.86 43 8.00 19 0.90 44 8.17 20 1.00 45 8.20 21 1.30 46 8.43 22 1.65 47 8.63 23 1.87 48 8.80 24 1.93 49 8.85 25 2.23 50 9.01 26 2.50 51 9.20 27 3.00 52 9.62 28 3.40 53 9.84 29 3.60 54 10.16 30 4.21 55 10.53 31 4.22 56 10.59 32 4.60 57 10.90 33 5.91 $-$ II-Migration Distance of Esteras: Isozyme Bands 58 0.19 65 1.45 59 0.23 66 1.90 61 0.31 68 2.49 62 0.43 69 3.02 63 0.43 70 3.59	16	0.60	41	7.60			
18 0.86 43 8.00 19 0.90 44 8.17 20 1.00 45 8.20 21 1.30 46 8.43 22 1.65 47 8.63 23 1.87 48 8.80 24 1.93 49 8.85 25 2.23 50 9.01 26 2.50 51 9.20 27 3.00 52 9.62 28 3.40 53 9.84 29 3.60 54 10.16 30 4.21 55 10.53 31 4.22 56 10.59 32 4.60 57 10.90 33 5.91 $-$ II-Migration Distance of Esteras: Isozyme Bands 58 0.19 65 1.45 59 0.23 66 1.90 61 0.31 68 2.49 62 0.43 69 3.02 63 0.43 70 3.59	17	0.65	42	7.85			
19 0.90 44 8.17 20 1.00 45 8.20 21 1.30 46 8.43 22 1.65 47 8.63 23 1.87 48 8.80 24 1.93 49 8.85 25 2.23 50 9.01 26 2.50 51 9.20 27 3.00 52 9.62 28 3.40 53 9.84 29 3.60 54 10.16 30 4.21 55 10.53 31 4.22 56 10.59 32 4.60 57 10.90 33 5.91 $$	18	0.86	43	8.00			
21 1.30 46 8.43 22 1.65 47 8.63 23 1.87 48 8.80 24 1.93 49 8.85 25 2.23 50 9.01 26 2.50 51 9.20 27 3.00 52 9.62 28 3.40 53 9.84 29 3.60 54 10.16 30 4.21 55 10.53 31 4.22 56 10.59 32 4.60 57 10.90 33 5.91 $$	19	0.90	44				
21 1.30 46 8.43 22 1.65 47 8.63 23 1.87 48 8.80 24 1.93 49 8.85 25 2.23 50 9.01 26 2.50 51 9.20 27 3.00 52 9.62 28 3.40 53 9.84 29 3.60 54 10.16 30 4.21 55 10.53 31 4.22 56 10.59 32 4.60 57 10.90 33 5.91 $$	20	1.00	45	8.20			
23 1.87 48 8.80 24 1.93 49 8.85 25 2.23 50 9.01 26 2.50 51 9.20 27 3.00 52 9.62 28 3.40 53 9.84 29 3.60 54 10.16 30 4.21 55 10.53 31 4.22 56 10.59 32 4.60 57 10.90 33 5.91 Image: Comparison Distance of Esterase Isozyme Bands58 0.19 65 1.45 59 0.23 66 1.90 60 029 67 2.00 61 0.31 68 2.49 62 0.43 69 3.02 63 0.43 70 3.59	21	1.30	46	8.43			
24 1.93 49 8.85 25 2.23 50 9.01 26 2.50 51 9.20 27 3.00 52 9.62 28 3.40 53 9.84 29 3.60 54 10.16 30 4.21 55 10.53 31 4.22 56 10.59 32 4.60 57 10.90 33 5.91 $$	22	1.65	47	8.63			
25 2.23 50 9.01 26 2.50 51 9.20 27 3.00 52 9.62 28 3.40 53 9.84 29 3.60 54 10.16 30 4.21 55 10.53 31 4.22 56 10.59 32 4.60 57 10.90 33 5.91 $$	23	1.87	48	8.80			
25 2.23 50 9.01 26 2.50 51 9.20 27 3.00 52 9.62 28 3.40 53 9.84 29 3.60 54 10.16 30 4.21 55 10.53 31 4.22 56 10.59 32 4.60 57 10.90 33 5.91 $$	24	1.93	49	8.85			
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	25		50	9.01			
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	26	2.50	51	9.20			
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		3.00	52				
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	28	3.40	53	9.84			
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	29	3.60	54	10.16			
32 4.60 57 10.90 33 5.91 II- Migration Distance of Esterase Isozyme Bands 58 0.19 65 1.45 59 0.23 66 1.90 60 029 67 2.00 61 0.31 68 2.49 62 0.43 69 3.02 63 0.43 70 3.59	30	4.21	55	10.53			
33 5.91 Image: state of the state of th	31	4.22	56	10.59			
II- Migration Distance of Esterase Isozyme Bands 58 0.19 65 1.45 59 0.23 66 1.90 60 029 67 2.00 61 0.31 68 2.49 62 0.43 69 3.02 63 0.43 70 3.59	32	4.60	57	10.90			
II- Migration Distance of Esterase Isozyme Bands 58 0.19 65 1.45 59 0.23 66 1.90 60 029 67 2.00 61 0.31 68 2.49 62 0.43 69 3.02 63 0.43 70 3.59		5.91					
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$							
60 029 67 2.00 61 0.31 68 2.49 62 0.43 69 3.02 63 0.43 70 3.59							
60 029 67 2.00 61 0.31 68 2.49 62 0.43 69 3.02 63 0.43 70 3.59	59	0.23	66	1.90			
61 0.31 68 2.49 62 0.43 69 3.02 63 0.43 70 3.59	-						
62 0.43 69 3.02 63 0.43 70 3.59	61		68				
63 0.43 70 3.59	62		69	3.02			
	63	0.43	70	3.59			
	64	1.23	71	3.69			

The dendogramm produced from cluster analysis of 71 characters including seed coat scan and seed protein profiles of the studied taxa is given into Fig. 1. Such dendogramm isolates *M. zeyheri* in a separate level at the value of 2.3 linkage distance while clustering the reminder 3 spp. into another separate level which separated at the value of 1.7 linkage distance, in which two sub levels are delimited. The first sublevel included *M. coffra* and *M. elengi* seem grouped at the levels of 1.3 linkage distance. On the other hand *M. laurifolia* is separated as the value of 1.7 linkage distance.




Fig. 1: UPGMA-Dedrogram Based on all Studied Characters (71 Characters) Illustrating Linkage Distances Between the Species

Discussion

Mimusops plants are trees, or shrubs; evergreen; a shrub or small to mediumsized, usually 10–20 m high, but occasionally reaching up to 25 m in height. laticiferous. Leaves, alternate (clustered or not); spiral; leathery; petiolate; nonsheathing; simple. Leaves, blades entire; pinnately veined. *Mimusops* plants have distinctive shape, size and color. The relationships between the studied species are discussed by the numerical analysis and manualed in the light of their seed coat and the molecular characters representing seed protein correlation and esterase isozyme. The ornamentation of the seeds of all the studied species is regulate, the regulation of the seed surface is a common feature at the specific level and hence can be used as an important taxonomic key characteristic of genus. On the other hand, the surface ornamentation in *M. zeyheri* differs than the rest species of the *Mimusops* by showing replicas of freeze on the their membrane surface. These results are similar to the results of (Kassem *et al.*, 2004) on studies of 13 taxa of family Myrtaceae in

Egypt. In the present studies; the Phenogram produced from the cluster analysis of the seed coat, seed protein and esterase isozyme characters (Fig. 1) showed that:

- 1- Distinguishing the *M. laurifolia* in a separate level
- 2- Separation of two species of M. coffra and M. elengi
- 3- Delimitation of Mimusops zeyheri in a separate level

Seed protein electrophoretic profiles are similar and stable within the four studied species, such protein being little affected by environmental factors (Harborne and Turner, 1984). According to the obtained results of seed protein electrophoretic profiles, there are the first group included M. laurifolia and M. coffra . The second group included M. zeyheri and Mimusops elengi. Consequently, the protein characters do not greatly differentiated between the studied species. Such obtained results in the present work, agreed with results of Podrigues et al., (2004) which carried out on Labramia bojeri seeds, which belong to the Sapotaceae family. Authors noticed that protein bands have shown similar characteristics as molecular masses, determined by gel filtration and native gel; N-terminal sequences presented a difference in their isoelectric points. Because of stability of seed tissue isozyme pattern of plants, the esterase isozyme consider good marker in differentiation between the different species (Crawford and Julian, 1983). So, this enzyme differentiated between a similar species. Where the UPGMA cluster analysis based on the SDS-PAGE profiles separated the M. zeyheri than other studied species. From the results of seed protein and esterase isozyme band number of 2, 5, 28,45 and 49 are found only in this species and has two bands of esterase isozyme named 3 and 5 have been recorded. The results of dendogram (Fig. 1) of four studies species, the investigated characters showed great correlation with the morphological shape. Where the UPGMA cluster analysis based on the SDS-PAGE profiles analysis separated the completely similar species of the genus *Mimusops*. On the other hand, the present studies revealed that M. coffra and M. elengi are correlated in separated subgroup, where the present studies showed that, the two species are shared in 6 protein bands named 3,18,24,35,36 and 47 and shared in 4 esterase bands named 1,4,9 and 13.

References

- 1. ADRIANSE, A.; KOLP, W., AND ROBBERS, J. E. 1969: Characterization of *Phaseolus vulgaris* cultivators by their electrophoretic patterns. J. Sci. food Agric. 20: 647-650.
- 2. BARTHLOTT, W., 1981: Epidermal and seed surface characters of plants, Syst. Applicability and some evolutionary aspects. Nordic. J. Bot.1: 345-355.

- BLAKSHEAR, P. J., 1984: Systems of polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis. Methods in enzymol., 104: 237-255.
- CRAWFORD, D. J. and JULIAN, E. A., 1983: Seed protein profiles in the narrow leafed species of *chenopodium* of thee western U. S. A. Taxonomic value and comparison with distribution of flavonoid compounds. Amer. J. Bot., 63:302-308.
- 5. GOVAERTS, R. D., FRODIN, T., PENNINGTON, D., 2001: World checklist and bibliography of Sapotaceae. Royal Botanic Gardens, Kew, UK
- 6. Harborne, J. B. and TURNER, B., 1984: Plant Biosystematics. Chapman and Hall, London.
- IGOR, V., SWENSON, U., JÉRÔME, M., AND ARNE, A.: 2004: Phylogenetic relationships among New Caledonian Sapotaceae (Ericales). *American Journal of Botany*. 2005;92:667-673.
- KASSEM, W, EL-GHAMERY, A., KHALIFA, S., 2004: Cytotaxonomical Studies of some Taxa of Family Myrtaceae in Egypt. Ph. D. thesis, Fac. of Sci., Al-Azhar University Egypt. Pp140.
- 9. LADIZINSIKY, G. AND HYMOTWITZ, H., 1979: Seed protein electrophoresis in taxonomic and evolutionary studies. Theor. Appl. Genet. 54:145-151.
- LAEMMLI, U. K., 1970: Cleavage of structural proteins during assembly of the head of Bacteriophage T4. Nature, 227:680-685.
- NUSRAT, J., WASIM, A. AND ABDUL MALIK., 1995: New Steroidal glycosides from *Mimusops* elengi. J. Natural Product. Vol. 58, No. 8 PP. 1244-1247, August.
- RODRIGUES, M., MACHADO, F., MENDES, M., MARTINEZ, D., AND CASSANDRA, B. 2004: Novel protein from Labramia bojeri A. DC. seeds homologue to Kunitz-type trypsin inhibitor with lectin-like properties. Journal of agricultural and food chemistry, vol. 52, pp. 7548-7554.
- 13. ROHLF, F. J., 1988: NTSYS-PC, numerical Taxonomy and multivariatae analysis systems. Version 1.85. New York, exeter pub., LTD.
- SHI, Q. AND JACKOWSKI, G., 1998: One-dimensional polyacrylamide gel Electrophoresis. In: Hames, B.D.(eds.) Gel Electrophoresis of Protein. A practical approach.3rd Edition, Oxford University Press, Oxford, UK.Pp.1-50.
- 15. SNEATH, P. H., AND SOKAL, R. R., 1973: Numerical taxonomy. Free Mann. S. Francisco, pp. 753
- STEGEMANN, H., BRGERMEISTER, W., SHAH, A., FRANCKSEN, H., AND KROGERRECH, E., 1988: Gill electrophoresis between glass plates in polyacrylamide or other gels Righetti Vanoss. Vanderrhoffdes, Els. Evier (Amsterdam).
- ZIMNIAK- PRZBYLSKA, Z., BLIXT, S., AND PRZYBYLSKA; J., 1985: Isoenzyme variation inn the genus *Psium*. Further electrophoretic analysis of amylases from cotyledons of unfermented seeds. Genet. Polon. 26: 303-306.

32 WAEL TAHA KASEEM and MAHMOUD A. MONSOUR الملخص العربي

دراسات مورفولوجية وكيميائية علي بعض الأنواع من جنس الميميزويس المنزرعة في مصر

وائل طه السيد قاسم ومحمود محمد منصور

من قسم النبات والميكروبيولوجي- كلية العلوم- جامعة الأزهر (بنين)- مدينة نصر – القاهرة